IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

CURTIS A YELTON

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 21A-UI-10056-JT-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

CASEYS MARKETING COMPANY

Employer

OC: 01/31/21

Claimant: Respondent (6)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)(a) Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) – Default Decision

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) - Dismissal of Appeal on Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the March 22, 2021, reference 02, decision that held the claimant was eligible for benefits provided the claimant met all other eligibility requirements and that the employer's account could be charged for benefits based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant was discharged on February 4, 2021 for no disqualifying reason. A notice of hearing was mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 3:00 p.m. on June 24, 2021. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the employer/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which a representative could be reached for the hearing. The claimant also did not register a telephone number for the hearing. Sandra Linsin of Employers Edge registered a telephone number for the hearing and was available at the time of the hearing. However, Ms. Linsin clarified that she was not appearing as the employer's representative for the hearing and was instead only participating as a witness on the potential issue of whether the employer participated in the fact-finding interview. Based upon the employer/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

ISSUE:

Should the appeal be dismissed based upon the employer/appellant not participating in the hearing?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The employer is the appellant in this matter. The employer was properly notified of the appeal hearing set for 3:00 p.m. on June 24, 2021 through the hearing notice that was mailed to the employer's last-known address of record on May 28, 2021. The employer did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice.

The employer did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which a representative could be reached for the hearing. The employer did not name a

hearing representative and did not provide a telephone number for said representative in the employer's appeal document. The employer has not otherwise provided the Appeals Bureau with the name of a hearing representative and a number for said representative since filing the appeal.

Sandra Linsin of Employers Edge registered a telephone number for the hearing and was available at the time of the hearing. However, Ms. Linsin clarified that she was not appearing as the employer's representative for the hearing and was instead only available as a prospective witness on the issue of whether the employer participated in the fact-finding interview. Ms. Linsin advised that she had sent an email message to the employer to remind the employer of the hearing, but had not heard further from the employer.

The March 22, 2021, reference 02, decision held the claimant was eligible for benefits provided the claimant met all other eligibility requirements and the employer's account could be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant was discharged on February 4, 2021 for no disqualifying reason.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The Iowa Administrative Procedures Act at Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall deny the motion to vacate.

Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-26.14(7) provides:

- (7) If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provide in lowa Code section 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing in writing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.
- a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.
- b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party.

c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

The employer/appellant appealed the representative's decision but failed to participate in the hearing. The employer/appellant has therefore defaulted on its appeal pursuant to lowa Code §17A.12(3) and lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

DECISION:

The employer defaulted on its appeal. The appeal is dismissed. The March 22, 2021, reference 02, decision that held the claimant was eligible for benefits provided the claimant met all other eligibility requirements and that the employer's account could be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant was discharged on February 4, 2021 for no disqualifying reason, remains in effect.

James E. Timberland

Administrative Law Judge

James & Timberland

July 7, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/kmj