

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

EVODIA TORRES

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-11061-JT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

REMEDY INTELLIGENT STAFFING INC

Employer

OC: 09/06/09

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.4(3) – Able & Available
871 IAC 26.8(5) – Decision on the Record

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Evodia Torres appealed from an unemployment insurance decision dated January 22, 2010, reference 02, that denied benefits effective December 20, 2009 based on an Agency conclusion that she was not partially unemployed. Ms. Torres requested an in-person hearing. An in-person hearing was scheduled for September 22, 2011. Ms. Torres did not appear for the hearing she requested or otherwise respond to the hearing notice. The employer also did not appear for the hearing she requested or otherwise respond to the hearing notice. Based on the Ms. Torres' failure to participate in the hearing, the administrative file, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Decision on the record.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Evodia Torres is the appealing party in this matter. Ms. Torres requested an in-person hearing. The parties were properly notified of the scheduled in-person hearing by notice mailed on September 1, 2011. Ms. Torres did not appear for the hearing she requested or otherwise respond to the hearing notice. There is no evidence the hearing notice was returned by the postal service as undeliverable for any reason. The hearing in this matter was consolidated with a companion, Appeal Number 11A-UI-11062-JT. In other words, Ms. Torres received two separate notices for the same hearing.

The administrative law judge has conducted a careful review of the administrative file to determine whether the unemployment insurance decision should be affirmed. Ms. Torres' appeal from the January 22, 2010, reference 02, decision is on its face late. The deadline for appeal was February 1, 2010. The appeal appears to have been filed on August 22, 2011.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

871 IAC 26.8(3), (4) and (5) provide:

Withdrawals and postponements.

(3) If, due to emergency or other good cause, a party, having received due notice, is unable to attend a hearing or request postponement within the prescribed time, the presiding officer may, if no decision has been issued, reopen the record and, with notice to all parties, schedule another hearing. If a decision has been issued, the decision may be vacated upon the presiding officer's own motion or at the request of a party within 15 days after the mailing date of the decision and in the absence of an appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals. If a decision is vacated, notice shall be given to all parties of a new hearing to be held and decided by another presiding officer. Once a decision has become final as provided by statute, the presiding officer has no jurisdiction to reopen the record or vacate the decision.

(4) A request to reopen a record or vacate a decision may be heard ex parte by the presiding officer. The granting or denial of such a request may be used as a grounds for appeal to the employment appeal board of the department of inspections and appeals upon the issuance of the presiding officer's final decision in the case.

(5) If good cause for postponement or reopening has not been shown, the presiding officer shall make a decision based upon whatever evidence is properly in the record.

The administrative law judge has carefully reviewed evidence in the record and concludes that the unemployment insurance decision previously entered in this case is correct and should be affirmed. In the absence of testimony or other evidence from Ms. Torres to establish good cause to treat her late appeal as a timely appeal, the administrative law judge would have no jurisdiction or authority to disturb the January 22, 2010, reference 02 decision that denied benefits.

Pursuant to the rule, the appellant must make a written request to the administrative law judge that the hearing be reopened within 15 days after the mailing date of this decision. The written request should be mailed to the administrative law judge at the address listed at the beginning of this decision and must explain the emergency or other good cause that prevented the appellant from participating in the hearing at its scheduled time.

DECISION:

The Agency representative's January 22, 2010, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The decision that denied benefits effective December 20, 2009 based on an Agency conclusion that the claimant was not partially unemployed remains in effect. This decision will become final unless a written request establishing good cause to reopen the record is made to the administrative law judge within 15 days of the date of this decision.

James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/kjw