

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

SABRINA STROBL
Claimant

4JMN LLC
Employer

APPEAL NO. 21A-UI-14081-B2-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**OC: 03/14/21
Claimant: Respondent (2)**

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) – Part-Time Worker – Same Wages and Hours
Iowa Code § 96.4-3 – Able and Available
Iowa Code § 96.7(2)A(2) – Partial Benefits
Iowa Code § 96.1(A)(37) – Total and Partial Unemployment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from the June 4, 2021, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on August 16, 2021. The employer did participate through Mike Earp. Employer's Exhibit 1 was admitted to the record. Claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice and did not participate.

ISSUES:

Whether the appeal is timely?

Whether claimant is still employed at the same hours and wages?

Whether claimant is eligible to receive partial benefits?

Whether claimant is able and available for work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A decision was mailed to the employer's last known address of record on June 4, 2021. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by June 14, 2021. The appeal was not filed until June 17, 2021, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision. Employer stated that he did receive the decision until June 14, 2021 and sent in an appeal on June 15, 2021. (It is noted that employer's suite address does appear to be cut off by IWD mainframe.)

Claimant worked for employer as a full time massage therapist. Her last day of actual work for employer was February 24, 2020. After that time, claimant was concerned about ongoing work as she was pregnant and had a fragile immune system. Employer kept in contact with claimant, but on May 24, 2020 claimant decided not to return to work. The administrative law judge notes

that claimant did submit her resignation on May 24, 2020. Employer stated he tried to contact claimant after that date, but claimant kept indicating that she did not wish to return.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The ten calendar days for appeal begin running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Pursuant to rules Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.2(96)(1) and Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did not have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was due to an Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was therefore timely filed pursuant to Iowa Code Section 96.6-2, and the administrative law judge retains jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See, *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant is not able and available for work at any time after filing her March 14, 2021 original claim.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Because the claimant chose to stop her employment in February of 2020 and denied offers to return to work, even after the birth of her child, claimant has not reestablished that she is able and available for work. Benefits are denied as of March 14, 2021.

DECISION:

The June 4, 2021, reference 01, decision is reversed. The appeal in this case was deemed timely, and the decision of the representative is reversed as claimant at no time has shown that she is able and available for work.



Blair A. Bennett
Administrative Law Judge

August 20, 2021
Decision Dated and Mailed

bab/ol

Note to Claimant: Even though claimant is not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits under state law, she may be eligible for federally funded unemployment insurance benefits under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("Cares Act"), Public Law 116-136. Section 2102 of the CARES Act creates a new temporary federal program called Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) that in general provides up to 39 weeks of unemployment benefits. **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information>.