IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

LORI E HEDRICK

Claimant

APPEAL 16A-UI-07861-JCT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

BEATON INC

Employer

OC: 06/12/16

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the July 5, 2016, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon separation. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on August 4, 2016. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated through Kathy Frerichs, controller. Claimant exhibit A and Employer exhibit 1 were admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily guit the employment with good cause attributable to the employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full-time as an accounting and human resources assistant, and was separated from employment on June 16, 2016, when she quit the employment. Continuing work was available.

The claimant performed work for one month, and during the month, her manager, Kathy Frerichs, was unexpectedly out of work due to events surrounding her daughter. The claimant was issued a full first week of training but thereafter, Ms. Frerichs was busy, both being out of the office, and helping handle two audits; including an end of month audit and a 401k audit. In addition, the employer was out of work for the Memorial Day holiday. The claimant's co-worker, Connie, was also supposed to help train her but at times busy, so the claimant was informed by the employer to find work to do. The claimant found Connie to be unfriendly and did not agree the one or two times she sat with Ms. Frerichs as she reviewed online applications for interviews. The claimant did not make the employer aware of her concerns before quitting by way of resignation letter (Employer exhibit 1). The claimant decided to quit after three days of not having work to do.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(21), (27) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.
- (27) The claimant left rather than perform the assigned work as instructed.

In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer. See 871 IAC 24.25. "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Industrial Relations Commission*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. App. 1973). Quits due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions are deemed to be for good cause attributable to the employer. See 871 IAC 24.26(4). The test is whether a reasonable person would have quit under the circumstances. See *Aalbers v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 431 N.W.2d 330 (Iowa 1988) and *O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (1993).

It is the duty of the administrative law judge as the trier of fact in this case, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. *Arndt v. City of LeClaire*, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (Iowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *Id.*. In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other believable evidence; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *Id.* After assessing the credibility of the witness who testified during the hearing, considering the applicable factors listed above, and using her own common sense and experience, the administrative law judge finds the weight of the

evidence in the record fails to establish intolerable and/or detrimental working conditions that would have prompted a reasonable person to guit the employment without notice.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). The credible evidence establishes the claimant was employed for a period of one month and during that period, the employer conducted some training, but was also consumed by both an end of month and 401K audit, which were outside of the scope of the training provided. In this case, the claimant voluntarily quit, citing that she didn't have work to do for three days, that she didn't agree with the applicant screening process, and that her co-worker did not talk or train her. Prior to separating, the claimant did not make the employer aware of her concerns. A claimant with work issues or grievances must make some effort to provide notice to the employer to give the employer an opportunity to work out whatever issues led to the dissatisfaction. Failure to do so precludes the employer from an opportunity to make adjustments which would alleviate the need to quit. Denvy v. Board of Review, 567 Pacific 2d 626 (Utah 1977). While it is understandable that the claimant wanted to continue in her training, and may have been frustrated, the evidence presented does not support the claimant voluntarily quit for a good-cause reason according to lowa law. Benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The July 5, 2016, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Jennifer L. Beckman
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/pjs