IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (0-06) - 3001078 - EL

	00-0137 (9-00) - 3091078 - El
FRANCIS P JEWETT Claimant	APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-02345-HT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
CAMBRIDGE TEMPOSITIONS INC Employer	
	OC: 01/04/09 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.6(2) - Timeliness

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer, Cambridge Tempositions, filed an appeal from a decision dated February 10, 2009, reference 02. The decision allowed benefits to the claimant, Francis Jewett. After due notice was issued a hearing was held by telephone conference call on March 0, 2009. The claimant did not provide a telephone number where he could be contacted and did not participate. The employer participated by Account Manager Darlene Hughes. Exhibit D-1 was admitted into the record.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the employer's protest was timely.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Claimant's notice of claim was mailed to employer's address of record on January 26, 2009, and received by employer within ten days. The notice of claim contains a warning that any protest must be postmarked, faxed or returned not later than ten days from the initial mailing date. Employer did not file a protest until February 6, 2009, which is after the ten-day period had expired. No good cause reason has been established for the delay.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

The administrative law judge concludes that employer has failed to protest within the time period prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law. *The delay was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 4.35(2).* The administrative law judge further concludes that the employer has failed to

timely protest pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the claimant's termination of employment. See, <u>Beardslee v. IDJS</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979); <u>Franklin v. IDJS</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979) and <u>Pepsi-Cola Bottling Company v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 465 N.W.2d 674 (Iowa App. 1990).

DECISION:

The representatives' decision dated February 10, 2009, reference 02, is affirmed. Employer has failed to file a timely protest, and the decision of the representative shall stand and remain in full force and effect.

Bonny G. Hendricksmeyer Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bgh/css