IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

MORGAN ENDECOTT

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-03945-ED-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

INNOVATIVE INJECTION

Employer

OC: 11/1/20

Claimant: Appellant (2)

lowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the January 25, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits due to her voluntarily quitting. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on April 1, 2021. Claimant, Morgan Endecott, participated personally. Employer, Innovative Injection, did not participate.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit her employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as the company CFO. Caimant was responsible for the company financials, purchasing and IT. She began working for this employer on August 22, 2002 and voluntarily quit on September 30, 2020. Claimant submitted her resignation on September 30, 2020 after an altercation with the company owner, Josh Janeczko. While discussing transition planning for an employee who had resigned, Mr. Janeczko became upset and yelled at claimant "do you think I need to kiss your ass do I need to kiss your feet". Mr. Janeczko stood over the claimant shaking his hand and finger at her and yelled repeatedly that she needed to leave. Claimant remained seated during the interaction and did not respond.

After the meeting, claimant immediately submitted her resignation to her immediate supervisor.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge finds that the claimant voluntarily quit with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code §96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (Iowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa Ct. App. 1992). In this case, the claimant voluntarily quit her employment. As such, claimant must prove that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

Generally, notice of an intent to quit is required by Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), Suluki v. Employment Appeal Board, 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and Swanson v. Employment Appeal Board, 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (Iowa Ct. App. 1996). These cases require an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. Accordingly, in 1995, the lowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added, however, to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd., 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa "Good cause attributable to the employer" does not require fault, negligence, wrongdoing or bad faith by the employer. Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Bd., 433 N.W.2d 700, 702 (Iowa 1988)("[G]ood cause attributable to the employer can exist even though the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing in connection therewith"); Shontz v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission, 248 N.W.2d 88, 91 (Iowa 1976)(benefits payable even though employer "free from fault"); Raffety v. lowa Employment Security Commission, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (lowa 1956)("The good cause attributable to the employer need not be based upon a fault or wrong of such employer."). Good cause may be attributable to "the employment itself" rather than the employer personally and still satisfy the requirements of the Act. Raffety, 76 N.W.2d at 788 (lowa 1956). Therefore, claimant was not required to give the employer any notice with regard to the intolerable or detrimental working conditions prior to her guitting. However, claimant must prove that her working conditions were intolerable, detrimental, or unsafe.

It is reasonable to the average person that an employee should not have to work in an environment where the company owner yells at an employee while standing over the employee and shaking his fist. Claimant has proven that her working conditions were intolerable and

detrimental. Thus, the separation was with good cause attributable to the employer. As such, benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

DECISION:

The January 25, 2021 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

*

Emily Drenkow Can

Emily Drenkow Carr Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

April 05, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

Ed/ol