# IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

**ASANTE M SCOTT** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 22A-UI-10988-JT-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DES MOINES IND COMMUNITY SCH DIST

Employer

OC: 03/08/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code Section 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal Iowa Code Section 96.4(3) – Ale & Available

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On April 29, 2022, Asante Scott (claimant) filed a late appeal from the September 29, 2021 (reference 02) decision that denied benefits effective March 8, 2020, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant was still employed in an on-call job in the same capacity as in the original contract of hire and was not available for work within the meaning of the law. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on June 15, 2022. Claimant participated and was represented by Lori Scott. The claimant and Ms. Scott both testified. Rhonda Wagoner represented the employer. Bobbie Sheridan and Lillie Noland were available to provide testimony, but were not called to testify. The hearing in this matter was consolidated with the hearing in Appeal Number 22A-UI-10989-JT-T. Exhibit A, the claimant's online appeal, and Exhibit B, an April 27, 2022 memo from Lillie Noland, HR Executive Assistant, was received into evidence. The administrative law judge took official notice of the following Agency administrative records: the reference 02 and 03 decisions, DBRO, KPYX, KFFV, KCCO, WAGE-A, WAGE-B, NMRO, the November 23, 2020 application for PUA and the December 11, 2020 decision allowing PUA effective November 1, 2020 through February 6, 2021.

# ISSUE:

Whether the appeal from the September 29, 2021 (reference 02) decision was timely.

Whether there is good cause to treat the appeal as timely.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

Asante Scott established an original claim for benefits that was effective March 8, 2020. At that time, the claimant provided lowa Workforce Development a mailing address on 31<sup>st</sup> Street in Des Moines. The address was for the residence the claimant shared with his aunt, Lori Scott, and Ms. Scott's fiancé.

In December 2020, the family unit moved from the residence on 31<sup>st</sup> Street to a residence on Southeast 22<sup>nd</sup> Street in Des Moines. Though the claimant was still claiming and receiving

unemployment insurance benefits at that time, the claimant did not notify Iowa Workforce Development of the change in address. The claimant also did not request that the United States Postal Service forward his mail from the 31<sup>st</sup> Street address to the 22<sup>nd</sup> Street address. The claimant's aunt requested that the USPS forward the family's mail to the new address, without delineating the names of the family members.

In August 2021, the claimant commenced college at Cornell College in Mount Vernon. The claimant left Cornell College in mid-September 2020 and returned to residing with his aunt and her fiancé at the residence on Southeast 22<sup>nd</sup> Street.

On September 29, 2021, Iowa Workforce Development mailed the September 29, 2021 (reference 02) decision to the claimant's 31<sup>st</sup> Street Des Moines address of record. The reference 02 decision denied benefits effective March 8, 2020, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant was still employed in an on-call job in the same capacity as in the original contract of hire and was not available for work within the meaning of the law. The reference 02 decision stated the decision would become final unless an appeal was postmarked by October 9, 201 or was received by the Appeals Section by that date. The weight of the evidence indicates the referenced 02 decision was forwarded and delivered to the claimant's residence in a timely manner, prior to the deadline for appeal, but that the claimant did not take steps to file an appeal from the decision by the appeal deadline.

On April 19, 2022, Iowa Workforce Development mailed the reference 03 overpayment decision to the claimant's address of record. The reference 03 overpayment decision stated it was based on the reference 02 disqualification decision. On April 29, 2022, the claimant's aunt completed and transmitted an online appeal from the reference 03 overpayment decision. The Appeals Bureau received the appeal on April 29, 2022 and treated it as also a late appeal from the reference 02 decision.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5. except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsections 10 and 11, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law

judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten-day deadline for appeal begins to run on the date Workforce Development mails the decision to the parties. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the Agency representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (lowa 1976).

An appeal submitted by mail is deemed filed on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it was received, or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(1)(a). See also *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983). An appeal submitted by any other means is deemed filed on the date it is received by the Unemployment Insurance Division of Iowa Workforce Development. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(1)(b).

The evidence in the record establishes that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). One question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in timely fashion. Hendren v. IESC, 217 N.W.2d 255 1974): Smith v. IESC, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973).

No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the division after considering the circumstances in the case. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(2)(c).

It is the duty of the administrative law judge as the trier of fact in this case, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. *Arndt v. City of LeClaire*, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (lowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (lowa Ct. App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *Id.* In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other believable evidence; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *Id.* 

The evidence in the record establishes an untimely appeal from the September 21, 2021 (reference 02) decision. The evidence establishes that the claimant received the reference 02

decision in a timely manner, had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal by the appeal deadline, but unreasonably delayed filing the appeal to April 29, 2022. The late filing of the appeal was not attributable to the Iowa Workforce Development error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. There is not good cause to treat the late appeal as a timely appeal. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(2). The claimant was an unreliable witness. The evidence indicated the claimant took minimal responsibility for matters pertaining to his claim and for the decisions the claimant received. The claimant left such matters for his aunt. The claimant's aunt provided testimony that was not credible and that was contradicted by the aunt's prior inconsistent statement during the hearing. Because the appeal was untimely, administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to disturb the decision from which the claimant appeals in the present matter. See *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

# **DECISION:**

The claimant's appeal from the September 29, 2021 (reference 02) decision was untimely. The decision that denied benefits effective March 8, 2020, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant was still employed in an on-call job in the same capacity as in the original contract of hire and was not available for work within the meaning of the law, remains in effect.

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge

James & Timberland

August 26, 2022

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

jet/kmj

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4<sup>th</sup> Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

## **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

## UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que está en línea en <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf</a>.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

#### **SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:**

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.