IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	00-0157 (9-00) - 3091078 - El
RODNEY A DITMEYER Claimant	APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-05586-LT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
WAL-MART STORES INC Employer	
	OC: 04/11/10

OC: 04/11/10 Claimant: Appellant (4)

69 01ET (0.06) 2001079 EL

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)a - Voluntary Leaving - Other Employment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the April 20, 2011 (reference 03) decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled to be held on May 20, 2011. Claimant responded and provided information in the appeal letter that alleviated the need for a hearing. Employer opted not to participate.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the appeal letter and administrative record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant left his part-time job with Wal-Mart on March 11, 2011 to accept full-time work elsewhere. After the separation he was informed the new job would not start until May.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment to accept employment elsewhere.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

a. The individual left employment in good faith for the sole purpose of accepting other or better employment, which the individual did accept, and the individual performed services in the new employment. Benefits relating to wage credits earned with the

employer that the individual has left shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

871 IAC 24.28(5) provides:

Voluntary quit requalifications and previously adjudicated voluntary quit issues.

(5) The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment.

871 IAC 23.43(5) provides:

(5) Sole purpose. The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment. No charge shall accrue to the account of the former voluntarily quit employer.

Even though the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer and would, standing alone, disqualify the claimant from receiving benefits, the claimant did leave in order to accept other employment but was told after the separation that the new job would not start until May 2011. Accordingly, benefits are allowed and the account of the employer shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The April 20, 2011 (reference 03) decision is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant voluntarily left his employment in order to accept other employment. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The account of the employer (account number 168629) shall not be charged.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/pjs