

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS
Division of Administrative Hearings
Wallace State Office Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Appeal Number: 13IWDUI032
OC:5/6/12
Claimant: Appellant (6)

DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4TH Floor Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

MICKENZIE TATE
3618 KELLING ST
DAVENPORT, IA 52806-5303

STATE CLEARLY

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
REEMPLOYMENT SERVICES
COORDINATOR
SHANLYN SEIVERT & SUE BARTON

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

JONI BENSON, IWD

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to the department. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

March 12, 2013

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The claimant filed an appeal of a representative's decision dated January 7, 2013 reference 06. A Notice of Telephone Hearing was mailed to all parties on January 24, 2013 setting a hearing date of March 11 2013 at 2:00 pm. At the time set for hearing Sue Barton of Iowa Workforce Development appeared by telephone. The appellant did not call in to participate in the hearing at the date and time indicated. Barton stated that the appellant may have elected not to pursue this appeal because she has received the benefits she requested through the appeal process. According to Barton, after this appeal was filed, Tate was paid for the weeks of December 8, 15, 22, 29 and January 5, 2013. It appears that benefits at issue have been received by the appellant.

DECISION

The appellant's appeal is dismissed.

