IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

PHILIP J SCHUMACHER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-16175-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

7G DISTRIBUTING

Employer

OC: 10/24/10

Claimant: Respondent (1-R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

7G Distributing (employer) appealed a representative's November 16, 2010 decision (reference 04) that concluded Philip Schumacher (claimant) was eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for January 12, 2011. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Molly Mielke, Vice President Human Resources, and Laurie Konecny, Manager of Business Development.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on June 1, 2010, as a full-time non-beer representative. On October 6, 2010, the claimant told the employer his wife was in labor and would not be at work. The claimant left messages for the employer on October 18, 19, 20, and 24, 2010. Three employees left messages for the claimant but could not reach him personally. The employer sent a certified letter to the claimant stating that the claimant had to respond to the letter by October 25, 2010, or the employer would consider the claimant's employment to have ended. The employer left a voice message on October 22, 2010, stating that the letter had been sent and reiterated the letters contents. On October 24, 2010, the claimant left a message for the employer indicating he received the voice message and did not want trouble. On October 25, 2010, the claimant called and talked to the employer. The employer offered the claimant Family Medical Leave and said the claimant was not terminated but the employer needed to know the claimant's status. The claimant indicated he was depressed and not thinking clearly due to the birth of his son, his wife's infection and his daughter's illness. He told the employer it was best if he resigned. The employer accepted the claimant's resignation.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(20) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(20) The claimant left for compelling personal reasons; however, the period of absence exceeded ten working days.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his words and actions. He was absent from work for personal reasons for more than ten working days. When an employee is absent from work for more than ten working days for compelling personal reasons, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant left work for more than ten working days for compelling personal reasons. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits

were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The claimant has received benefits since filing the claim herein. Pursuant to this decision, those benefits may now constitute an overpayment. The issue of the overpayment is remanded for determination.

The claimant's and the employer's testimony is inconsistent. The administrative law judge finds the employer's testimony to be more credible because the employer offered two eye witnesses.

DECISION:

The representative's November 16, 2010 decision (reference 04) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The issue of the overpayment is remanded for determination.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
bas/pjs	