IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

THOMAS A MAYER

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 22A-UI-12240-JT-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

AEROTEK INC

Employer

OC: 01/26/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code Section 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal lowa Code Section 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On May 9, 2022, Thomas Mayer (claimant) filed a late appeal from the March 23, 2021 (reference 01) decision that disqualified the claimant for benefits and that held the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant voluntarily quit on September 23, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on June 28, 2022. Claimant participated. The employer did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to call the toll-free number at the time of the hearing and did not participate. There were three appeal numbers set for a consolidated hearing: 22A-Ul-12240-JT-T, 22A-Ul-12241-JT-T, and 22A-Ul-12242-JT-T. Exhibit A, the appeal form, was received into evidence. The administrative law judge took official notice of the following Agency administrative records: the reference 01 and 02 (o.c. 01/26/20) decisions, the reference 01 (o.c. 01/24/21) decision, the reference 01 (o.c. 01/24/21 fact-finding materials, DBRO, KPYX, and KFFV.

ISSUE:

Whether the appeal was timely. Whether there is good cause to treat the appeal as timely.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The claimant established benefits years that were effective January 26, 2020 and January 24, 2021. in March 2021, the claimant participated in a fact-finding interview that addressed the claimant's September 23, 2020 separation from Aerotek, Inc.

On March 23, 2021, lowa Workforce Development mailed the March 23, 2021 (reference 01, o.c. 01/26/20) decision to the claimant's Earlham, lowa last-known address of record. That decision disqualified the claimant for benefits and held the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant voluntarily quit on September 23, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer. That decision stated the decision would become final unless an appeal was postmarked by April 2, 2021 or was received

by the Appeals Section by that date. The decision included clear and concise appeal instructions.

On March 24, 2021, IWD mailed the March 24, 2021 (reference 01, o.c. 01/24/21) decision to the claimant's Earlham, lowa last-known address of record. That decision disqualified the claimant for benefits and held the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant September 23, 2020 separation was adjudicated in connection with the prior benefit year and that the earlier decision remained in effect. The March 24, 2021 (reference 01, o.c. 01/24/21) decision stated the decision would become final unless an appeal was postmarked by April 3, 2021 or was received by the Appeals Section by that date. The decision stated that if the deadline fell on a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday, the deadline would be extended to the next working day. April 3, 2021 was a Saturday and the next working day was Monday, April 5, 2021. The decision included clear and concise appeal instructions.

The claimant's Earlham address of record was the claimant's grandmother's residence. The claimant resided at that residence from the time he established the January 26, 2020 original claim until he moved to Waukee in March 2022. The weight of the evidence indicates both March 2021 decisions were delivered to the address of record in a timely manner, prior to the respective appeal deadlines. The claimant presented insufficient evidence to establish otherwise. The claimant does not recall receiving the two disqualification decisions and speculates his grandmother may have "mixed up" the mail. The claimant did not offer testimony from his grandmother. The claimant did not file an appeal from either disqualification decision by the respective April 2021 deadlines.

On April 29, 2022, IWD mailed the April 29, 2022 (reference 02, o.c. 1/26/20) overpayment decision to the claimant's Earlham last-known address of record. The reference 02 decision stated it was based on the reference 01 (o.c. 01/26/20) disqualification decision. The overpayment decision included a May 10, 2022 deadline for appeal. The claimant traveled from his home in Waukee to his grandmother's home in Earlham to collect the decision. On May 9, 2022, the claimant went to the Des Moines lowaWORKS Center, completed an appeal form pertaining to the overpayment decision, and delivered the completed form to the Center staff. The claimant succinctly stated the reason for his appeal: "I don't approve decision." The claimant made no reference in the appeal form to not receiving the reference 01 (o.c. 01/26/20) disqualification decision mention in the overpayment decision. In the appeal, the claimant list the Earlham address as his mailing address. The Appeals docketed a May 9, 2022 appeal and treated the claimant's appeal from the overpayment decision from both reference 01 disqualification decisions.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

lowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the

claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsections 10 and 11, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5. subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten-day deadline for appeal begins to run on the date Workforce Development mails the decision to the parties. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the Agency representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (lowa 1976).

An appeal submitted by mail is deemed filed on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it was received, or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion. See lowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(1)(a). See also *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (lowa 1983). An appeal submitted by any other means is deemed filed on the date it is received by the Unemployment Insurance Division of lowa Workforce Development. See lowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(1)(b).

The evidence in the record establishes that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982). One question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in Hendren v. 217 N.W.2d 255 timely fashion. IESC. (lowa 1974): Smith v. IESC, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973).

No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the division after considering the circumstances in the case. See lowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(2)(c).

The weight of the evidence in the record establishes an untimely appeal from the March 23, 2021 (reference 01, o.c. 01/26/20) disqualification decision. The weight of the evidence establishes that both reference 01 decisions were delivered to the claimant's address of record

in a timely manner, that the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file appeals by the respective April 2021 deadlines, but that the claimant unreasonably delayed filing the appeal to May 9, 2022. The late filing of the appeal was not attributable to the lowa Workforce Development error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. There is not good cause to treat the late appeal as a timely appeal. See lowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(2). Because the appeal was untimely, the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to disturb the decision from which the claimant appeals in the present matter. See *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979) and *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (lowa 1979).

DECISION:

The claimant's appeal from the March 23, 2021 (reference 01, o.c. 01/26/20) decision was untimely. The decision that disqualified the claimant for benefits and that held the employer's account would not be charged for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion the claimant voluntarily quit on September 23, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer, remains in effect.

James E. Timberland

Administrative Law Judge

James & Timberland

September 7, 2022

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at low a Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de low a §17A.19, que está en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de