IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JOSEPH M SALAZAR

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-15378-VST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DORMARK CONSTRUCTION CO

Employer

OC: 11/14/10

Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated November 30, 2011, reference 03, which held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on December 22, 2011. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Kevin Gearhart, treasurer; Russ Vetter, project manager; and Dean Bael; on site supervisor. The record consists of the testimony of Kevin Gearhart; the testimony of Russ Vetter; the testimony of Dean Bael; and Employer's Exhibits 1-4. Official notice is taken of agency records.

ISSUES:

Whether the claimant voluntarily left for good cause attributable to the employer; and Whether the claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having considered all of the evidence in the record, makes the following findings of fact:

The employer does highway and bridge construction. The claimant was hired on September 17, 2010, as a laborer. The claimant's last day of work was October 19, 2011. (Exhibit 4) The claimant was a no call/no show on October 24, 2011; October 25, 2011; and October 26, 2011. The employer has a policy that if an employee has three consecutive days of no call/no show, he is considered to have voluntarily quit his job.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

A quit is a separation initiated by the employee. 871 IAC 24.1(113)(b). In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. See <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 698, 612 (Iowa 1980) and <u>Peck v. EAB</u>, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa App. 1992). In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer. See 871 IAC 24.25.

The greater weight of the evidence showed that the claimant last worked for the employer on October 19, 2011. He worked 3.5 hours that day. The project was finished for the week. Dean Bael, the claimant's supervisor, left the claimant three messages about working the week that started on Monday, October 24, 2011. The claimant did not return his calls. The claimant was a no call/no show for three days, from October 24, 2011 through October 26, 2011. The claimant's testimony that he was not informed about working is not credible. The claimant was asked why he applied for unemployment benefits for the week ending October 15, 2011, when he was still working for the employer and earned gross pay of \$1,443.94. The claimant did not have an answer nor could he explain why he reported \$300.00 of income. The next week he reported no income but was paid \$45.50 for the 3.5 hours he worked on October 19, 2011. This raises serious questions about the claimant's credibility.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was a no call/no show for three consecutive work days. This is considered a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

The next issue is overpayment of benefits.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The overpayment issue is remanded to the claims section for determination.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated November 30, 2011, reference 03, is reversed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. The overpayment issue is remanded to the claims section for determination.

Vicki L. Seeck Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
vls/pjs	