IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
AMANDA L BENNETT Claimant	APPEAL NO: 18A-UI-05890-JE-T
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES USA Employer	
	OC: 04/08/18
	Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5(3)a – Work Refusal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the May 16, 2018, reference 02, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on May 31, 2018. The claimant participated in the hearing. Michelle Moorhead, Human Resources Manager and Barbara Toney, Employer Representative, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant refused a suitable offer of work.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The employer made an offer of work to the claimant on April 2, 2018. That offer included the following terms: A part-time security officer position at Lennox in Marshalltown earning \$9:60 per hour working overnight/weekend hours. The claimant's average weekly wage is \$258.61. The claimant did not have a valid claim for unemployment insurance benefits at the time.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.24(8) provides:

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the Iowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

The administrative law judge does not have jurisdiction to evaluate the offer or refusal of work since the offer of employment took place outside of the claimant's benefit year. Therefore, benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The May 16, 2018, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The claimant did refuse an offer of work made outside of her benefit year; thus, the administrative law judge has no jurisdiction to determine suitability of the offer. Benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/scn