# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ALLEN T BLONG** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-08168-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**ART'S-WAY SCIENTIFIC INC** 

**Employer** 

Original Claim: 12/21/08 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-3-a – Work Refusal

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated June 2, 2009, reference 01, which held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on June 23, 2009. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Rod Coleman, Human Resource Coordinator. Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.

#### **ISSUE:**

The issue in this matter is whether claimant refused to accept a suitable offer of work.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Employer made an offer of work to the claimant on May 18, 2009. That offer included the following terms: Full-time work at \$15.40 per hour. Claimant's average weekly wage is \$682.00. The offer was made in the 23rd week of unemployment. Claimant refused the offer of work because he did not want to work for the plant manager. Claimant had a permanent work restriction of no ladder climbing. Claimant was repeatedly ordered to climb ladders by the plant manager prior to the layoff. Claimant's knee healed up while on layoff. Claimant had complained about violating work restrictions to no avail. Claimant did not return because he did not want to hurt his knee again. Nothing had changed that would indicate the plant manager would not order claimant to climb ladders again.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work. Claimant was within his right to refuse to return to a job where valid medical work restrictions were violated on a regular basis. This is good cause for a refusal to return to work. Benefits allowed.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

## 871 IAC 24.24(1)a provides:

- (1) Bona fide offer of work.
- a. In deciding whether or not a claimant failed to accept suitable work, or failed to apply for suitable work, it must first be established that a bona fide offer of work was made to the individual by personal contact or that a referral was offered to the claimant by personal contact to an actual job opening and a definite refusal was made by the individual. For purposes of a recall to work, a registered letter shall be deemed to be sufficient as a personal contact.

## 871 IAC 24.24(8) provides:

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the lowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

### **DECISION:**

The decision of the representative dated June 2, 2009, reference 01, is affirmed. Claimant is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits, provided claimant meets all other eligibility requirements.

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
mdm/kjw	