IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CAITLIN B LONEY

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 18A-UI-09409-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

HACH CO INC

Employer

OC: 08/12/18

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Code § 96.5(1)d – Voluntary Quitting/Illness or Injury

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the August 31, 2018, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 27, 2018. The claimant participated personally. The employer did not respond to the notice of hearing to furnish a phone number with the Appeals Bureau and did not participate in the hearing. Claimant Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.

The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative records including the fact-finding documents. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

Note to claimant: Additional information about food, housing, and other resources, can be found by dialing 211 or at www.211iowa.org.

ISSUES:

Did claimant voluntarily leave the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full-time as an Operator I and was separated from employment on August 10, 2018, when she ran out of short-term disability and was unable to return to work.

The claimant has a history of shoulder conditions which cause her left shoulder to fall in and out of its socket. She is not alleging the shoulder injury is work-related. Her doctor imposed physical restrictions, which prevented her from being able to do her job duties, such as lifting up. The claimant currently has a two pound lifting restriction (Claimant Exhibit A). Because the claimant is also currently pregnant, her treating physician will not perform surgery until after her delivery. The claimant's due date is December 18, 2018.

The claimant went on a personal leave of absence and received short term disability until August 10, 2018. At that time she was unable to perform her job duties without restriction and did not return to her position.

At the time of the hearing, the claimant had not been released without restrictions by her treating physician.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant is temporarily separated from the employment without good cause attributable to employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(35) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (35) The claimant left because of illness or injury which was not caused or aggravated by the employment or pregnancy and failed to:
- (a) Obtain the advice of a licensed and practicing physician;
- (b) Obtain certification of release for work from a licensed and practicing physician;
- (c) Return to the employer and offer services upon recovery and certification for work by a licensed and practicing physician; or
- (d) Fully recover so that the claimant could perform all of the duties of the job.

The court in Gilmore v. Empl. Appeal Bd., 695 N.W.2d 44 (Iowa Ct. App. 2004) noted that:

"Insofar as the Employment Security Law is not designed to provide health and disability insurance, only those employees who experience illness-induced separations that can fairly be attributed to the employer are properly eligible for unemployment benefits." White v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 487 N.W.2d 342, 345 (lowa 1992) (citing Butts v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 328 N.W.2d 515, 517 (lowa 1983)).

Subsection d of Iowa Code § 96.5(1) provides an exception where:

The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and ... the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

The statute specifically requires that the employee has recovered from the illness or injury, and this recovery has been certified by a physician. The exception in section 96.5(1)(d) only applies when an employee is *fully* recovered and the employer has not held open the employee's position. *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 346; *Hedges v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 368 N.W.2d 862, 867 (Iowa Ct. App. 1985); see also *Geiken v. Lutheran Home for the Aged Ass'n.*, 468 N.W.2d 223, 226 (Iowa 1991) (noting the full recovery standard of section 96.5(1)(d)). In the Gilmore case he was not fully recovered from his injury and was unable to show that he fell within the exception of section 96.5(1)(d). Therefore, because his injury was not connected to his employment and he had not fully recovered, he was considered to have voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer and was not entitled to unemployment benefits. See *White*, 487 N.W.2d at 345; *Shontz*, 248 N.W.2d at 91.

In this case, the claimant has not fully recovered and been released to return to work without restriction. She uses her shoulder to perform her job as an operator and has a two pound weight restriction. Currently she is unable to have surgery on her shoulder due to being pregnant. The claimant has not established that the medical condition was work related, as is her burden; thus, she must meet the requirements of the administrative rule cited above. She has not been released to return to full work duties and employer is not obligated to accommodate a non-work related medical condition. Accordingly, although the separation was for good personal reasons, it was without good cause attributable to the employer and benefits must be denied.

DECISION:

The August 31, 2018 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant temporarily separated from the employment without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she works in and has been paid wages equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible or until such time as she obtains a full release to return to regular duties without restriction, offers services to employer, and it has no comparable, suitable work available.

Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/scn