

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ALLISON R THOMPSON
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-04021-SWT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

CASEYS MARKETING COMPANY
Employer

**OC: 03/23/08 R: 03
Claimant: Respondent (2)**

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge
Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated April 17, 2008, reference 01, that concluded the claimant's discharge was not for work-connected misconduct. A telephone hearing was held on May 8, 2008. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. The claimant failed to participate in the hearing. Karla Poldberg participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

ISSUES:

Was the claimant discharged for work-connected misconduct?

Was the claimant overpaid unemployment insurance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant worked the employer as a pizza cook and cashier from June 16, 2006, to March 17, 2008. The claimant was informed and understood that under the employer's work rules, employees were not allowed to remove company property from the store without management authorization.

On March 3, 2008, the claimant took a set of audio earphones from a merchandise rack in the store without paying for them. She did not have permission from any manager to take the earphones. After employees complained to the store manager that the claimant was stealing merchandise from the store, the manager reviewed store videotapes on March 15 and witnessed the claimant taking the earphones out of the store without paying for them.

The employer discharged the claimant on March 17, 2008, for taking merchandise from the store without paying for it and without permission from a manager.

The claimant filed for and received a total of \$795.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for the weeks between March 23 and April 26, 2008.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this case is whether the claimant was discharged for work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

The claimant's conduct was a willful and material breach of the duties and obligations to the employer and a substantial disregard of the standards of behavior the employer had the right to expect of the claimant. Work-connected misconduct as defined by the unemployment insurance law has been established in this case.

The next issue in this case is whether the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

As a result of this decision, the claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits and was overpaid \$795.00 in unemployment insurance benefits for the weeks between March 23 and April 26, 2008.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated April 17, 2008, reference 01, is reversed. The claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits until she has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant was overpaid \$795.00 in unemployment insurance benefits, which must be repaid.

Steven A. Wise
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

saw/css