IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

LUCILLE E MOONEY

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-06469-AD-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 08/30/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Overpayment of Benefits Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35 – Filing

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On February 17, 2021, Lucille Mooney (claimant/appellant) filed an appeal from the October 22, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that determined claimant had been overpaid benefits in the amount of \$114.00 for the two weeks between September 20 and October 3, 2020.

A telephone hearing was set for May 10, 2021 at 4 p.m. However, claimant requested this matter be heard at the same time as another matter on May 6, 2021 at 1 p.m. See 21A-UI-06197-AD-T. That request was granted and this matter was heard at that time.

The claimant participated personally. Her daughter, Sheila Mooney, participated as a witness for claimant. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUE(S):

I. Is the appeal timely?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The administrative record shows the Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to claimant at the above address on October 22, 2020. That was claimant's correct address at that time. Claimant is unsure if or when she received the decision. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development Appeals Section by November 1, 2020.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was untimely. The October 22, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that determined claimant had been overpaid benefits in the amount of \$114.00 for the two weeks between September 20 and October 3, 2020 therefore remains in force.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1)(a) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

 (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in
- the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

There is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and the Administrative Law Judge has no authority to change the decision of representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. lowa Dept. Job Service, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). The ten-day period for appealing an initial determination concerning a claim for benefits has been described as jurisdictional. Messina v. Iowa Dept. of Job Service, 341 N.W.2d 52, 55 (lowa 1983); Beardslee v. lowa Dept. Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979). The only basis for changing the ten-day period would be where notice to the appealing party was constitutionally invalid. E.g. Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979). The question in such cases becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); Smith v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission, 212 N.W.2d 471 (Iowa 1973). The question of whether the Claimant has been denied a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal is also informed by rule 871-24.35(2) which states that "the submission of any ...appeal...not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service."

While claimant is unsure if or when she received the decision, the administrative record shows the decision was mailed to claimant at the above address on October 22, 2020. That was claimant's correct address at that time. The administrative law judge therefore finds the decision was sent to claimant to her address of record at that time. Claimant therefore a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal of the decision but did not do so. She has not established a good cause reason for failing to do so. The administrative law judge concludes that the appeal was not timely and the decision is therefore final, meaning the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to change it. It therefore remains in force.

DECISION:

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was untimely. The October 22, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that determined claimant had been overpaid benefits in the amount of \$114.00 for the two weeks between September 20 and October 3, 2020 therefore remains in force.

Andrew B. Duffelmeyer

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

any Nopelmers

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515) 478-3528

May 18, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

abd/kmj

Note to Claimant:

If you disagree with this decision, you may file an appeal with the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. If this decision denies benefits, you may be responsible for paying back benefits already received.

Individuals who are disqualified from or are otherwise ineligible for <u>regular</u> unemployment insurance benefits but who are unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). **You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility.** Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information.