# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

TINA M NETTLETON

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-03212-NT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**TEAM STAFFING SOLUTIONS INC** 

**Employer** 

OC: 12/18/11

Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Benefit Overpayment

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from a representative's decision dated March 26, 2012, reference 04, which held the claimant eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held on April 12, 2012. The claimant participated. The employer participated by Ms. Sara Fiedler, claims administrator.

#### ISSUE:

At issue is whether the claimant left employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having considered the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Tina Nettleton was employed by Team Staffing Solutions beginning on December 14, 2011. The claimant's last assignment began on January 16, 2012, and concluded on February 9, 2011, when Ms. Nettleton voluntarily quit her employment. The claimant quit her assignment at Foam Fabricators, where she was working as a general laborer, because of dissatisfaction with the work environment. At times, the claimant was not kept busy, and Ms. Nettleton disliked being inactive. At the time of leaving employment, the claimant had not secured a new job but hoped to do so in the future. Work continued to be available to the claimant at the time that she chose to voluntarily leave her employment.

# **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

An individual who voluntarily leaves their employment must first give notice to the employer of the reasons for quitting in order to give the employer an opportunity to address or resolve the complaint. See <u>Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 506 N.W.2d 445 (lowa 1993). An employee who receives a reasonable expectation of assistance from the employer after complaining about work conditions must complain further if the conditions persist in order to preserve eligibility for benefits. See <u>Polley v. Gopher Bearing Company</u>, 478 N.W.2d 775 (Minn. App. 1991).

Inasmuch as the claimant did not give the employer an opportunity to resolve her complaints prior to leaving employment, the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

lowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The issue of whether the claimant must repay unemployment insurance benefits is remanded to the Unemployment Insurance Services Division for a determination.

# **DECISION:**

The representative's decision dated March 26, 2012, reference 04, is reversed. The claimant quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Unemployment insurance benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The issue of whether the claimant must repay unemployment insurance benefits is remanded to the Unemployment Insurance Services Division for a determination.

Terence P. Nice
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

kjw/kjw