IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEM PLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

AUSTIN J HERMAN Claimant

APPEAL NO: 21A-UI-15113-JTT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 05/30/21 Claimant: Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Work Search Warning Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) – Default Decision Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.14(7) – Dismissal of Appeal on Default

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the June 30, 2021, reference 05, decision referenced the claimant's report of zero job contacts for the week that ended June 5, 2021. The decision reminded the claimant he was required to make at least two job contacts per claim week. The decision warned that the claimant could be disqualified for benefits future benefits weeks in which he did not meet the work search requirement. A notice of hearing was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 4:00 p.m. on August 26, 2021. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which the claimant could be reached for the hearing. Based upon the claimant/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law and decision.

ISSUE:

Should the appeal be dismissed based upon the claimant/appellant not participating in the hearing?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant is the appellant in this matter. The claimant was properly notified of the appeal hearing set for 4:00 p.m. on August 26, 2021 through the hearing notice that was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record on July 29, 2021. The claimant did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. The claimant did not comply with the hearing notice instructions to register a telephone number at which the claimant could be reached for the hearing. The claimant did not provide a telephone number in the claimant's appeal. The claimant has not otherwise provided the Appeals Bureau with a telephone number since filing the appeal.

The June 30, 2021, reference 05, decision referenced the claimant's report of zero job contacts for the week that ended June 5, 2021. The decision reminded the claimant he was required to

make at least two job contacts per claim week. The decision warned that the claimant could be disqualified for benefits future benefits weeks in which he did not meet the work search requirement.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The lowa Administrative Procedures Act at lowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides in pertinent part:

If a party fails to appear or participate in a contested case proceeding after proper service of notice, the presiding officer may, if no adjournment is granted, enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. ... If a decision is rendered against a party who failed to appear for the hearing and the presiding officer is timely requested by that party to vacate the decision for good cause, the time for initiating a further appeal is stayed pending a determination by the presiding officer to grant or deny the request. If adequate reasons are provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall vacate the decision and, after proper service of notice, conduct another evidentiary hearing. If adequate reasons are not provided showing good cause for the party's failure to appear, the presiding officer shall deny the motion to vacate.

lowa Administrative Code rule 871-26.14(7) provides:

(7) If a party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the appeals bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the presiding officer may proceed with the hearing. If the appealing party fails to provide a telephone number or is unavailable for the hearing, the presiding officer may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provide in Iowa Code section 17A.12(3). The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing in writing under subrule 26.8(3) and shows good cause for reopening the hearing.

a. If an absent party responds to the hearing notice while the hearing is in progress, the presiding officer shall pause to admit the party, summarize the hearing to that point, administer the oath, and resume the hearing.

b. If a party responds to the notice of hearing after the record has been closed and any party which has participated is no longer on the telephone line, the presiding officer shall not take the evidence of the late party.

c. Failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing shall not constitute good cause for reopening the record.

The claimant/appellant appealed the representative's decision but failed to participate in the hearing. The claimant/appellant has therefore defaulted on the appeal pursuant to lowa Code §17A.12(3) and lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

DECISION:

The claimant defaulted on the appeal. The appeal is dismissed. The June 30, 2021, reference 05, work search warning/decision remains in effect.

James & Timberland

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

August 31, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/mn