# IN THE IOWA ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**BRENT M. GALL** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 23A-UI-09295-CS-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**CARGILL INCORPORATED** 

**Employer** 

OC: 09/03/23

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code §96.5(2)a-Discharge/Misconduct

Iowa Code §96.5(1)- Voluntary Quit

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 – Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On October 2, 2023, the employer/appellant filed an appeal from the September 22, 2023, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on claimant being dismissed on September 1, 2023. The lowa Workforce Development representative determined there was no evidence of willful or deliberate misconduct. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on October 17, 2023. Claimant did not participated. Employer participated through hearing representative, Lesley Buhler. Amelia Denning and Christy Hoppe testified on behalf of the employer. Exhibits 1 and 2 were admitted into the record.

## **ISSUES:**

- I. Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct, or voluntary quit without good cause?
- II. Should claimant repay benefits?
- III. Should the employer be charged due to employer participation in fact finding?
- IV. Is the claimant overpaid benefits?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on June 14, 2021. Claimant last worked as a full-time packaging operator. Claimant's regular work schedule is Mondays through Fridays 3:00 p.m. through 11:00 p.m.

The employer's policies state:

Breaks and Lunch Periods.

A. Plant employees would be given a 30 minute break during each four hour segment of work. For some workers, these breaks are staggered and need to be coordinated with co-workers. With advanced supervisory permission an employee may leave the company property during break periods to secure a lunch. Such time would be unpaid. Employees may at times be asked to postpone or take a break earlier/later if plant operations require extra effort at the usual time of the break period.

# Leaving the Work Area

Any employee leaving Company property while clocked in and without the permission of their Supervisor will be subject to disciplinary action. Employees are expected to remain in their own work area unless they are in another area for good reason or with permission of their Supervisor. Employees who must leave their work area when a Supervisor is not available should notify their coworkers that they will be absent; for what reason; where they will be; and how long they will be absent. Due to the nature and possible hazards of the operations there ware some areas which require constant observation of the operation. You should discuss specific expectations with your department Supervisor.

- C. No employee should clock in/out anyone but themselves. Falsification of time records is a serious violation of the work rules and can be considered a reason to terminated employment.
- E. If an employee needs to leave work for personal business they are expected to clock out upon leaving and clock in again upon return. Prior to leaving you must notify your coworkers so that coverage, if needed, can be arranged.

The employer investigated another incident involving an employee when they observed on August 25, 2023, the claimant leave the building and sit in his car from 7:35 p.m. until 8:14 p.m. Claimant notified claimant of their findings and notified him on August 29, 2023 that he was suspended pending an investigation.

The employer reviewed further video and observed the following:

- August 8, 2023, claimant left the property from 6:36 p.m. through 6:49 p.m.
- August 10, 2023, claimant leave the plant at 6:01 p.m. The employer was not able to determine when claimant returned to the property.
- August 14, 2023, claimant sat in his car 6:10 p.m. through 7:01 p.m. and then returned to his car from 8:38 through 9:31 p.m.
- August 15, 2023, claimant left the property from 6:03 p.m. through 7:00 p.m. Claimant returned to work and then returned to his care from 8:36 p.m. through 9:30 p.m.
- August 17, 2023, claimant left the property at 5:25 p.m. and returned to the plant at 6:55 p.m.
- August 18, 2023, claimant sat in his care from 5:30 p.m. through 7:18 p.m. and then again at 8:20 p.m. through 9:23 p.m. At 10:05 p.m. claimant returned to his vehicle in his street clothes and then waits and punches out at 11:00 p.m.
- August 21, 2023, claimant sat in his car from 6:25 p.m. through 7:20 p.m.; then again at 8:20 p.m. through 9:50 p.m.
- August 22, 2023, claimant sat in his vehicle from 8:47 p.m. through 9:15 p.m.
- August 23, 2023, claimant left the property from 5:51 p.m. through 6:27 p.m.
- August 24, 2023, claimant left the property from 6:05 p.m. through 6:16 p.m.

In each of these incidents the claimant did not clock out in violation of the employer's policies.

On September 1, 2023, claimant was discharged for dishonesty and falsifying time records. Claimant did not have any prior warnings for violating these policies.

On September 3, 2023, claimant filed a claim for benefits. Claimant's weekly benefit amount is \$582.00. Claimant began receiving benefits the week of September 10, 2023, through October 14, 2023. Claimant has received five weeks of state unemployment insurance benefits worth a gross total of \$2,910.00.

The employer received the notice of a fact-finding interview. A representative for the employer did not participate in the phone call but did submit a written response to employment questions through SIDES. In the employer's response they provided lowa Workforce Development with a number to call during the phone interview. The representative did not receive a phone call from lowa Workforce Development at the time of the fact-finding interview. The employer did not provide documents supporting their reasoning for the discharged.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a and provide:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:
- (2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.
- (14) Intentional misrepresentation of time worked or work carried out that results in the individual receiving unearned wages or unearned benefits.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

# (1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). Misconduct must be "substantial" to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. *Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). Negligence does not constitute misconduct unless recurrent in nature; a single act is not disqualifying unless indicative of a deliberate disregard of the employer's interests. *Henry v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 391 N.W.2d 731 (lowa Ct. App. 1986).

Taking breaks longer than 30-minutes is theft from the employer. Theft from an employer is generally disqualifying misconduct. *Ringland Johnson, Inc. v. Hunecke*, 585 N.W.2d 269, 272 (lowa 1998). In *Ringland*, the Court found a single attempted theft to be misconduct as a matter of law. In this case, the claimant deliberately disregarded the employer's interest and knowingly violated a company policy. The claimant engaged in disqualifying misconduct even without previous warning. Benefits are denied.

Because claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to him which he is not entitled. Next it must be determined if claimant was overpaid benefits and if he must repay the benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides, in pertinent part: :

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers. If the department determines that an employer's failure to respond timely or adequately was due to insufficient notification from the department, the employer's account shall not be charged for the overpayment.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871 subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the factfinding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Since claimant is denied benefits, claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$2,910.00 from September 10, 2023, through October 14, 2023. The employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview with Iowa Workforce Development due to Iowa Workforce Development failing to call the representative listed in their SIDES response. Claimant is not required to repay these benefits due to the employer's failure to participate in the fact-finding interview. Furthermore, the employer's account shall not be charged due to Iowa Workforce Development's failure to call the person listed on the employer's SIDES response at the time of the fact-finding interview.

### **DECISION:**

The September 22, 2023, reference 01, decision is REVERSED. The claimant was discharged from employment for job-disqualifying misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Claimant has been overpaid unemployed insurance benefits in the amount of \$2,910.00. Claimant is not obligated to repay these benefits since the employer did participate in the fact-finding interview. The employer did not properly participate because lowa Workforce Development did not call the proper person for the interview. The employer's account shall not be charged.

Carly Smith

Administrative Law Judge

October 18, 2023

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4<sup>th</sup> Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

## AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at <a href="https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf">https://www.iowacourts.jov/iowa-courts/court-directory/</a>.

**Note to Parties:** YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

**Note to Claimant:** It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

## **SERVICE INFORMATION:**

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

### UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

**Nota para las partes:** USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

**Nota para el reclamante:** es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

#### SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.