IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

GREGORIO AGUILAR Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-19213-CS-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HTH COMPANIES INC Employer

> OC: 03/15/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code §96.5(2)a-Discharge/Misconduct Iowa Code §96.5(1)- Voluntary Quit Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On August 31, 2021, the claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the September 4, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that disallowed benefits based on claimant being discharged for excessive unexcused absenteeism. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was schedule to be held on October 22, 2021. The claimant requested a postponement. A hearing was held December 13, 2021. Appeals 21A-UI-19214-CS-T; 21A-UI-19215-CS-T; 21A-UI-19216-CS-T; and 21A-UI-19217-CS-T. Claimant participated at the hearing. Employer did not call into the hearing to participate. Administrative notice was taken of claimant's unemployment insurance benefits.

ISSUES:

Was claimant's appeal timely?

Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct, or voluntary quit without good cause?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The unemployment insurance decision was mailed to the appellant's address of record on August 3, 2020. The appellant did not receive the decision. The first notice of disqualification was the overpayment decisions dated August 3, 2021. The deadline to appeal the overpayment decisions was August 13, 2021. The appeal was not filed until August 31, 2021, which is after the date noticed on the unemployment insurance decision because claimant was incarcerated. Claimant's wife told him about the overpayment decisions and he directed her to appeal this decision for him.

Claimant began working for employer on May 6, 2019. Claimant last worked as a full-time scaffold builder. Claimant was separated from employment on August 12, 2019, when he was discharged.

The site manager had an attendance policy where employees would accumulate points. The policy was not an employer policy but specific to the site manager. Under the site manager's policy employees were discharged if they accumulated six points.

On August 12, 2019, claimant arrived at work on time, however, claimant was not present at the safety meeting that he was required to attend. The site manager gave claimant a tardy point for him being absent from the safety meeting. Claimant was notified that he was terminated because he had accumulated six points. The claimant did not receive a prior written or verbal warning. Claimant was not aware that his job was in jeopardy prior to his termination.

Claimant had missed other days due to him being ill. Claimant later found out that he was not feeling well because he had diabetes.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal is untimely.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disgualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of § 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to § 96.5. except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to § 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding § 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl.*

Comp. Bd. of Rev., 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Bd. of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See *Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and *Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

DECISION:

The September 4, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

Carly Smith

Carly Smith Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

January 14, 2022 Decision Dated and Mailed

cs/scn

NOTE TO CLAIMANT: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits but who were unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.

ATTENTION: On May 11, 2021, Governor Reynolds announced that lowa will end its participation in federal pandemic-related unemployment benefit programs effective June 12, 2021. The last payable week for PUA in Iowa is the week ending June 12, 2021. You may be eligible for benefits incurred prior to June 12, 2021. Additional information can be found in the press release at <u>https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/iowa-end-participation-federal-unemployment-benefit-programs-citing-strong-labor-market-and</u>.