

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU**

**KRISANNE E RYTHER**

Claimant

**APPEAL 21A-UI-13202-DZ-T**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA**

Employer

**OC: 02/07/21**

**Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timely Appeal  
Iowa Code § 96.19(38) – Total and Partial Unemployment  
Iowa Code §96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work  
Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) – Same Hours and Wages  
Iowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) – Same Base Period Employment

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Krisanne E Ryther, the claimant/appellant, filed an appeal from the May 7, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied REGULAR unemployment insurance benefits as of February 7, 2021. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on August 9, 2021. Ms. Ryther participated and testified. The employer participated through Scott Coons, human resources associate. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

**ISSUES:**

Is Ms. Ryther's appeal filed on time?  
Is Ms. Ryther partially unemployed and able to and available for work?  
If so, is the employer's account subject to charge?

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to Ms. Ryther at the correct address on May 7, 2021. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) Appeals Section by May 17, 2021.

Ms. Ryther received the decision in the mail sometime during the week of May 10-14, 2021. She was on vacation leave. When she returned from vacation leave, she contacted Iowa Workforce Development about her options since the appeal deadline had passed. The representative told Ms. Ryther she could file an appeal. Ms. Ryther filed an appeal via the United States Postal Service postmarked on June 2, 2021. The appeal was received by Iowa Workforce Development on June 4, 2021.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the Ms. Ryther's appeal was not filed on time.

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:

(a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b) If transmitted via the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Ms. Ryther received the decision in the mail before the deadline and, therefore, could have filed an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. The notice provision of the decision was valid. Ms. Ryther's delay was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay. Ms. Ryther's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issues in this matter.

**DECISION:**

Ms. Ryther's appeal was not filed on time. The May 7, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed.



---

Daniel Zeno  
Administrative Law Judge  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau  
Iowa Workforce Development  
1000 East Grand Avenue  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209  
Fax (515)478-3528

August 13, 2021  
Decision Dated and Mailed

dz/scn