

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

PAMELA J HOUGH
2110 COATES ST
DUBUQUE IA 52003-7106

STEELE CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC
788 MAIN ST
DUBUQUE IA 52001-6820

NATALIA BLASKOVICH
ATTORNEY AT LAW
c/o IOWA LEGAL AID
799 MARKET ST STE 280
DUBUQUE IA 52001

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-11571-DWT
OC: 10/03/04 R: 04
Claimant: Respondent (6)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal are based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

871IAC26.8(1) - Withdrawal of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Steele Capital Management, Inc. (employer) appealed a representative's October 21, 2004 (reference 02) that concluded Pamela J. Hough (claimant) was qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits, and the employer's account was subject to charge because the claimant had been discharged for nondisqualifying reasons. A hearing was scheduled on November 22, 2004. Prior to the hearing, the employer made a request to withdraw the employer's appeal. Based on the employer's withdrawal request, the administrative record, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

On November 12, 2004, Kathryn Reeg, on the employer's behalf, made a verbal request to withdraw the employer's appeal. The request was tape-recorded.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

871 IAC 26.8(1) provides:

(1) An appeal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the issuance of a decision upon the request of the appellant and with the approval of the presiding officer to whom the case is assigned. Requests for withdrawal may be made in writing or orally, provided the oral request is tape-recorded by the presiding officer.

The employer's request to withdraw its appeal is approved.

DECISION:

The representative's October 21, 2004 decision (reference 02) is affirmed. The employer's request to withdraw its appeal is approved. Therefore, the claimant remains qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits as of October 3, 2004, provided she meets all other eligibility requirements. The employer's account may be charged for benefits paid to the claimant.

dlw/tjc