IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

CO 04EZ (0.0C) 20040Z0 EL

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI
CLAUDIA I GARCIA Claimant	APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-08737-LT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
PREMIER RESOURCES INC PREMIER RESOURCE Employer	
	OC: 06/17/12 Claimant: Respondent (1)

lowa Code § 96.5(3)a – Work Refusal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the July 13, 2012 (reference 02) decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on August 15, 2012. Claimant did not respond to the hearing notice instructions and did not participate. Employer participated through owner Tony Kolarik and office manager Adam Hubbard.

ISSUES:

Was a suitable offer of work was made in the benefit year; did the claimant fail to apply for or refuse an offer of suitable work; and if so, was the refusal for a good cause reason?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Employer made an offer of work to claimant in person via account manager Mary Martinez on June 20, 2012. That offer included the following terms: housekeeping, first shift, nearly 40 hours per week. The second position offered was dishwashing, second or third shift, and 20 hours per week. The wage offered for both jobs is \$8.50, which is comparable to the prevailing rate of pay for similar work in the Des Moines area. She declined both and said she would file for unemployment. Claimant's average weekly wage is \$516.55. The offer was made in the first week of unemployment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work.

Iowa Code § 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

The offer was unsuitable, as it did not meet the minimum wage requirements set out above for an offer to be considered suitable.

DECISION:

The July 13, 2012 (reference 02) decision is affirmed. Claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work. Benefits are allowed, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/css