

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

DAVID D DISCHLER
Claimant

APPEAL 17A-UI-03339-DG-T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

OMG MIDWEST INC
Employer

**OC: 12/04/16
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Iowa Code § 96.5(5) – Severance Pay

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the March 14, 2017, (reference 05) decision that deducted severance pay from benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on April 18, 2017. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Courtney Maxwell, Human Resources Generalist.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant receive severance pay and if so, was it correctly deducted from benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was separated on December 9, 2016, and did receive severance pay in the amount of \$1,400.00.

Claimant received “severance” pay but was required to sign an agreement to get that payment. Severance pay is generally defined as money paid to an employee who is dismissed because of a lack of work or other reasons beyond the employee's control but does not require anything further in exchange.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did not receive severance pay.

Iowa Code section 96.5(5) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

5. *Other compensation.*

a. For any week with respect to which the individual is receiving or has received payment in the form of any of the following:

(1) Wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay, or dismissal pay.

(2) Compensation for temporary disability under the workers' compensation law of any state or under a similar law of the United States.

(3) A governmental or other pension, retirement or retired pay, annuity, or any other similar periodic payment made under a plan maintained or contributed to by a base period or chargeable employer where, except for benefits under the federal Social Security Act or the federal Railroad Retirement Act of 1974 or the corresponding provisions of prior law, the plan's eligibility requirements or benefit payments are affected by the base period employment or the remuneration for the base period employment. However, if an individual's benefits are reduced due to the receipt of a payment under this subparagraph, the reduction shall be decreased by the same percentage as the percentage contribution of the individual to the plan under which the payment is made.

b. Provided, that if the remuneration is less than the benefits which would otherwise be due under this chapter, the individual is entitled to receive for the week, if otherwise eligible, benefits reduced by the amount of the remuneration. Provided further, if benefits were paid for any week under this chapter for a period when benefits, remuneration or compensation under paragraph "a", subparagraph (1), (2), or (3), were paid on a retroactive basis for the same period, or any part thereof, the department shall recover the excess amount of benefits paid by the department for the period, and no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid. However, compensation for service-connected disabilities or compensation for accrued leave based on military service by the beneficiary with the armed forces of the United States, irrespective of the amount of the benefit, does not disqualify any individual otherwise qualified from any of the benefits contemplated herein. A deduction shall not be made from the amount of benefits payable for a week for individuals receiving federal social security pensions to take into account the individuals' contributions to the pension program.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.13(3)c provides:

(3) Fully deductible payments from benefits. The following payments are considered as wages; however, such payments are fully deductible from benefits on a dollar-for-dollar basis:

c. Wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay and dismissal

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.13(4)b provides:

(4) Nondeductible payments from benefits. The following payments are not considered as wages and are not deductible from benefits:

b. Bonuses. The bonus payment is only nondeductible when based on service performed by the individual before the period in which the individual is also claiming benefits.

The Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section of Iowa Workforce Development has historically interpreted "severance pay" to include a benefit used to attract employees or "conscience money" to help a former employee survive a lay off. The Appeals Section has historically excluded from the definition of "severance pay" circumstances involving quid pro quo settlements designed to head off further legal action by an employee that might arise from the circumstances surrounding the separation from the employment. The greater weight of the evidence in the record indicates that the settlement amount at issue in this case arose out an

attempt by the employer to resolve legal matters, or potential legal matters, between itself and the claimant. Under the Agency's historic interpretation of "severance pay," the settlement amount issued to the claimant would fall outside the definition of wages in lieu of notice, separation allowance, severance pay or dismissal pay, and would not be deductible from his Unemployment Insurance Benefits under Iowa Code § 96.5(5).

Since claimant is expected to agree to the terms of the severance agreement, payment was not for a service provided in exchange for wages or as a way to ease the loss of income after a separation through no fault of the claimant, but was for a contractual obligation. Payment in consideration of that obligation is not considered wages. Therefore, the severance agreement consideration should not be deducted from benefits and the entire amount of "severance" pay was incorrectly defined and deducted. Benefits are allowed for the one week ending January 14, 2017.

DECISION:

The March 14, 2017, (reference 05) decision is reversed. The claimant did not receive severance pay and the contract consideration was incorrectly defined and deducted.

Duane L. Golden
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/