IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JANET R FISHER 604 E BOONE ST MARSHALLTOWN IA 50158-3047

NELLIS MANAGEMENT COMPANY

COUNTY

CONTY

COLUMBUS OH 43216-1160

Appeal Number: 06A-UI-03154-LT

OC: 02-19-06 R: 02 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.* 

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)		
(De	ecision Dated & Mailed)	

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed a timely appeal from the March 7, 2006, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on April 6, 2006. Claimant did not participate. Employer did participate through Stephen Moore.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time crew chief/entry level management for a Long John Silvers' location from November 19, 2003 through February 17, 2006 when she was discharged. During that shift, she coached another potential shift leader improperly by cooking an excessive amount of product at the appropriate time given the customers in the store and the anticipated number of

customers at that time of day. The product must be discarded after ten to fifteen minutes and she had demonstrated the ability to balance the product with customers before.

Her most recent written warning was issued on February 6, 2006 for allowing two employees to wait for a ride for two-and-a-half hours on the clock. Another written warning was given to her on January 28, 2006 because guests had waited 12 to 14 minutes for their meals and she did not acknowledge the delay to the guests or did not make sure that there was any product being cooked. She also did not supervise adequately or verify that the cooks prepared for the night crew as scheduled. On January 27, 2006, an all management staff meeting was held that discussed job responsibilities and appropriate shift duties and claimant actively participated. On February 8, 2006, a one-on-one meeting was held with Moore, claimant, and the district manager again addressing claimant's trend toward lax management practices.

The claimant has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of February 19, 2006.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. <u>Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Claimant's failure to cook the appropriate amount of food compared to the customers present or anticipated, especially while training a management candidate, and after having been warned about not fulfilling the necessary, appropriate and reasonable management duties in the past, constitutes disqualifying misconduct. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

Because the claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which the claimant was not entitled. Those benefits must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

## **DECISION:**

The March 7, 2006, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible. The claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$977.00.

dml/kkf