## BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**BRENDA D WILHELM** 

: **HEARING NUMBER:** 18BUI-08119
Claimant :

and : EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD : DECISION

ANDOVER MEAT INCORPORATED

Employer

## NOTICE

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION: 96.5-1** 

## DECISION

## **UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. With the following modification, the administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED** with the following **MODIFICATION**:

The Employment Appeal Board would modify the administrative law judge's Reasoning and Conclusions of Law to include the following as supportive legal analysis:

While we recognize the Claimant did not provide notice of her intention to quit, such notice was not required. The court in <u>Hy-Vee v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 710 N.W.2d 1 (lowa 2005) held that the

notice of intention to quit set forth in <u>Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board</u>, 506 N.W.2d 445 (lowa 1993) does not apply to quits involving detrimental and intolerable working conditions. The Claimant is this case did not prove she was working under such conditions based on this one event.

Kim D. Schmett	
Ashley R. Koopmans	
•	
James M. Strohman	

AMG/fnv