# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

SHELLEY L HILL

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-07052-S2T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 06/05/11

Claimant: Appellant (1)

EUC Act of 2008 Section 96.19(20) – Emergency Unemployment Compensation Benefits

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Shelley Hill (claimant) appealed a representative's June 6, 2012 decision (reference 03) that concluded she was not eligible to receive emergency unemployment compensation benefits because she was monetarily eligible for regular benefits in Connecticut. After a hearing notice was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for July 16, 2012. The claimant participated personally.

### **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant is eligible to receive emergency unemployment compensation benefits.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant filed for benefits with an effective date of June 5, 2011. She filed for emergency unemployment compensation benefits while she was eligible to receive regular unemployment insurance benefits in Connecticut.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant is not eligible to receive emergency unemployment compensation benefits on the lowa claim.

In order for a claimant to be eligible for extended benefits, the EUC Act of 2008 requires an individual to have exhausted all of the benefits under the regular unemployment claim. The claimant was not eligible for emergency unemployment compensation under her lowa claim, because the claimant was eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits in another State.

## **DECISION:**

The representative's June 6, 2012 decision (reference 03) is affirmed. The claimant was not eligible for emergency unemployment compensation on an Iowa claim, because the claimant was eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits in another State.

Reth A Scheetz

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs