### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

ELIBETH CRUZ RUBIO Claimant

# APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-13432-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WEST LIBERTY FOODS Employer

> OC: 10/14/12 Claimant: Appellant (1)

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge for Misconduct

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Elibeth Cruz Rubio (claimant) appealed a representative's November 2, 2012 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because she was discharged from work with West Liberty Foods (employer) for dishonesty in connection with her work. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for December 10, 2012. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Nikki Bruno, Human Resource Supervisor, and Sarah Stapp, Human Resource Generalist.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on January 23, 2012, as a full-time human resource associate. The claimant signed for receipt of the employer's handbook on January 23, 2012. On October 10, 2012, the employer discovered that the claimant had falsified her time card on September 28, 2012. The claimant arrived at work at 7:40 a.m. on September 28, 2012. The claimant went into the computer system and changed her records to reflect that she arrived at work at 7:31 a.m. on September 28, 2012. The employer terminated the claimant on October 10, 2012.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged for misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

(1) Definition.

a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. <u>Cosper v.</u> <u>Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). The claimant clearly disregarded the standards of behavior which an employer has a right to expect of its employees. The claimant's actions were volitional. When a claimant intentionally disregards the standards of behavior that the employer has a right to expect of its employees, the claimant's actions are misconduct. The claimant was discharged for misconduct.

## **DECISION:**

The representative's November 2, 2012 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant is not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because the claimant was discharged from work for misconduct. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

bas/css