

BEFORE THE  
EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
Lucas State Office Building  
Fourth floor  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

---

**MARIO A HARRIS**

Claimant

and

**SANDHU GROUP OF COMPANIES INC**

Employer

HEARING NUMBER: 18BUI-02907

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD  
DECISION

**NOTICE**

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

**A REHEARING REQUEST** shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2-A, 96.5-1D

**DECISION**

**UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE**

The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. All members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. A majority of the Appeal Board, one member dissenting, finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

---

Ashley R. Koopmans

---

James M. Strohman

**DISSENTING OPINION OF KIM D. SCHMETT:**

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board. After careful review of the record, I would reverse the decision of the administrative law judge. I do not find the Claimant to be credible, and contrary to his evidence I conclude that the Claimant failed to contact the employer after he was released and therefore quit under the rule laid down in *Brockway v. Employment Appeal Board*, 469 N.W.2d 256 (Iowa App. 1991).

---

Kim D. Schmett

RRA/fnv