IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

EDDIE L EVANS
Claimant

APPEAL 17A-UI-06829-JP

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 04/02/17

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the June 26, 2017, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision that concluded the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$4,023.00 for the nine-week period ending June 3, 2017, as a result of a disqualification decision. After due notice was issued, an in-person hearing was held at 1000 East Grand Avenue in Des Moines, Iowa, on July 21, 2017. Claimant participated. Official notice was taken of the administrative record, including claimant's benefit payment history and the envelope that was returned that contained claimant's notice for this hearing, with no objection.

ISSUE:

Is the appeal timely?

Is the claimant overpaid benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: An overpayment unemployment insurance decision was mailed to claimant's last known address of record on June 26, 2017. Claimant testified he did not receive the decision. Claimant testified that some of his mail is not being delivered to him that is sent to his address of record. Claimant became aware of the overpayment decision on July 7, 2017 when came to lowa Workforce Development to determine why he was not receiving unemployment insurance benefits. Claimant received the overpayment decision on July 7, 2017, outside the appeal period. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by July 6, 2017. Claimant immediately filed an appeal on July 7, 2017, which was after the date noticed on the unemployment insurance decision. Claimant appealed the decision the same day he found out about it. Claimant still resides at the address of record. The notice of appeal and in-person hearing that was mailed on July 10, 2017 to claimant's address of record was returned to the Appeals Bureau by the post office with a message on the envelope of "RETURN TO SENDER[;] ATTEMPTED – NOT KNOWN[;] UNABLE TO FORWARD[.]"

The overpayment issue in this case was created by the disqualification decision that has been reversed in appeal number 17A-UI-06370-JP. Claimant received benefits in the gross amount of \$4,023.00 for the nine-week period ending June 3, 2017.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether the appellant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsections 10 and 11, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5. subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The appellant did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision because the decision was not received. Without notice of a disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See *Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). Claimant filed an appeal within a reasonable period of time after discovering an overpayment decision had been issued. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

The next issue is whether claimant was overpaid benefits. The administrative law judge concludes claimant has not been overpaid benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7) provides, in pertinent part:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The administrative law judge concludes that claimant has not been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$4,023.00 pursuant to lowa Code § 96.3(7) as the disqualification decision that created the overpayment decision has been reversed in appeal number 17A-UI-06370-JP.

DECISION:

The June 26, 2017, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant's appeal is considered timely. Claimant has not been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$4,023.00.

| Jeremy Peterson | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Administrative Law Judge | |
| | |
| | |
| Decision Dated and Mailed | |
| | |
| jp/rvs | |