IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

RANDALL T JONES

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-03901-H2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

SARA LEE CORP

Employer

OC: 03/08/15

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the March 24, 2015 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on May 7, 2015. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Tim Steffen, Human Resources Manager. Employer's Exhibit One was entered and received into the record.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit his employment without good cause attributable to the employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full time as a loader beginning on December 2, 2008 through December 15, 2014 when he voluntarily quit. The employer keeps regular records about when employees call in to report their absences. The claimant had been given a copy of the attendance policy and knew that he was required to call in to report his absences prior to the beginning of his shift. The employer's records show that he did not call in prior to the beginning of his shift on December 11, 12, and 15; in violation of the employer's policy.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

The administrative law judge concludes that the employer's records kept in the regular course business are more persuasive than the claimant's allegation that he did call in. In addition, Mr. Steffen made notes about a conversation he had with the claimant on December 15 to persuade the administrative law judge that the claimant was a three day no-call/no-show. Inasmuch as the claimant failed to report for work or notify the employer for three consecutive workdays in violation of the employer policy, the claimant is considered to have voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld.

DECISION:

The March 24, 2015 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/can