

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section  
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

ERIC D GREENLEAF  
APT 332  
2000 OUTER DR  
SIOUX CITY IA 51104

MOLLAND INC  
DE DE BEVERAGE  
522 – 4<sup>TH</sup> ST STE 200  
SIOUX CITY IA 51101

RICHARD STURGEON  
PO BOX 3372  
SIOUX CITY IA 51102 3372

Appeal Number: 05A-UI-11874-H2T  
OC: 10-09-05 R: 01  
Claimant: Appellant (2)

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

---

(Administrative Law Judge)

---

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Iowa Code §96.5(3)a – Work Refusal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the November 18, 2005, reference 04, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on December 6, 2005. The claimant did participate and was represented by Richard Sturgeon. The employer did not participate.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a laborer full time beginning February 10, 2000 through November 4, 2005 when he stopped working due to a work-related injury. The claimant was off work due to a physician's work restrictions until January 19, 2005 when he returned to the

employer and offered to return to work with some restrictions on his lifting, pushing and pulling. The employer did not return the claimant to work. The employer never offered to return the claimant to work. There is no evidence that any offer of work for any terms was made to the claimant. The claimant is currently able to work within his work restrictions.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:

(1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.

(2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

(3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.

(4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

871 IAC 24.24(1)a provides:

(1) Bona fide offer of work.

a. In deciding whether or not a claimant failed to accept suitable work, or failed to apply for suitable work, it must first be established that a bona fide offer of work was made to the individual by personal contact or that a referral was offered to the claimant by personal contact to an actual job opening and a definite refusal was made by the individual. For purposes of a recall to work, a registered letter shall be deemed to be sufficient as a personal contact.

The employer has not returned the claimant to work. There is no evidence that the claimant was ever offered any return to any type of work. Without evidence of an offer of work, the administrative law judge need not consider the suitability of the offer. The claimant was not offered any work, thus, benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The claimant is currently able to work within his work restrictions.

#### DECISION:

The November 18, 2005, reference 04, decision is reversed. Claimant did not refuse a suitable offer of work. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

tkh/tjc