#### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JUSTIN D COLLINS Claimant

# APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-08791-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CAMBRIDGE TEMPOSITIONS INC

Employer

OC: 08/31/08 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving Iowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the September 29, 2008, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on October 16, 2008. Claimant did not respond to the hearing notice instructions and did not participate. Employer participated through Stephanie Matteson.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time first shift Apache Hose and Belting in the sidewall department temp-to-hire laborer for a half day on August 13, 2008. He initially said he could not work because he had day care issues and called again to report missing work on August 14 about day care issues. It was not until after the separation that he said he had quit because the job was not as represented to him. When initially told about this assignment employer said he would be grinding the belt, putting the sidewall on the belt and drilling cleats. The first four hours on the first day of work he was assigned to grinding and the assignment supervisor told him that it was all he would ever do there so he left claiming child care issues but did not ask Cambridge for assistance in resolving the issue. Nor did he request any other work assignments.

Claimant has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of August 31, 2008.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

lowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(17), (27) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (17) The claimant left because of lack of child care.
- (27) The claimant left rather than perform the assigned work as instructed.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980).

Both the claimed child care issues and leaving because he did not like the type of work were not good cause reasons attributable to the employer for leaving the assignment. Since employer did tell claimant he would be responsible for grinding as a part of his job duties, there was no misrepresentation. Although the assignment supervisor told him he would only be performing grinding duties while there, claimant did not seek Cambridge's assistance in resolving his concern and there was no reasonable way Cambridge knew of this concern since he only claimed day care problems. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Because claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which claimant was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code § 96.3(7). In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. The matter of whether the overpayment should be recovered under Iowa Code § 96.3(7) bis remanded to the Agency.

## **DECISION:**

The September 29, 2008, reference 03, decision is reversed. Claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. Claimant is overpaid benefits in the amount of \$582.00.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

dml/pjs