IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

SHAWN M LOHOFF

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-00055-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

WAL-MART STORES INC

Employer

OC: 11/22/09

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated December 17, 2009, reference 01, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on February 17, 2010. Claimant participated. Employer failed to respond to the hearing notice and did not participate. Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer. The issue is whether the appeal is timely.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on August 8, 2009. Claimant was let go by employer November 6, 2009 because she had overextended a medical leave of absence. Claimant was off work due to a work-related injury. Employer demanded a new medical release. The doctor indicated the old release was still in force. Employer discharged claimant for overextending medical leave. Claimant was still off work and under medical care at the time of the discharge.

Claimant delayed appealing her decision based on advice of her attorney. Claimant did not understand that she was disqualified for quitting. Claimant would have immediately appealed if she understood the implications of a disqualification for a voluntary quit. Claimant appealed a few days late and immediately upon release to full duty work by her doctor effective December 30, 2009. Claimant immediately appealed upon understanding the implications of the disqualifying decision.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has established that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when employer terminated the employment relationship because claimant overextended a medical leave. Since claimant was off work and under medical care at the time of discharge, this is a quit for cause attributable to employer. Benefits allowed.

Claimant's appeal is timely as it was immediately filed after claimant understood the true implications of the adverse decision. The short delay was over the holidays. Claimant upon explanation by workforce development immediately appealed. This is a timely appeal.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any

appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

DECISION:

The	decision	of	the	representative	dated	December 17,	2009,	reference 01,	is	reversed.
Uner	nploymen	t in	surar	nce benefits are	allowe	d, provided claii	mant is	otherwise eligi	ble.	ı

Marlon Mormann
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

mdm/pjs