IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El

MATTHEW W ERICKSON Claimant

APPEAL NO: 15A-UI-03769-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

> OC: 02/15/15 Claimant: Appellant (4)

Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed a representative's decision dated March 23, 2015 (reference 02) that concluded the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits as a result of a disqualification decision. After a hearing notice was mailed to the claimant's last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on April 29, 2015. The claimant participated personally.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witness and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant filed for unemployment insurance benefits with an effective date of February 15, 2015. For the week ending February 21, 2015, the claimant did not receive any unemployment insurance benefits. This was the first week after his separation from employment with Northern AG Service. For each of the two weeks ending February 28, 2015 and March 7, 2015, the claimant received \$448. The representative's decision dated March 23, 2015, reference 02, created an overpayment of \$896 based on those two weeks.

The overpayment decision issued in this case was created by a disqualification decision that has now been modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant is eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits for the two-week period ending March 7, 2015 because the vacation pay was not deducted for the correct period. The entire amount should be deducted from the week ending February 21, 2015.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the following reasons the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was not overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was not overpaid unemployment insurance benefits pursuant to Iowa Code Section 96.3-7, as the disqualification decision that created the overpayment decision has now been modified in favor of the appellant.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated March 23, 2015 (reference 02) is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant was not overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/can