# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**MARIE L PARROW** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 22A-UI-00544-LJ-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**DAVENPORT COMMUNITY SCH DIST** 

**Employer** 

OC: 04/19/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal Iowa Code § 96.4(5) – Eligibility Between Academic Years or Terms

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On November 19, 2021, claimant Marie L. Parrow filed an appeal from the February 16, 2021 (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits effective June 7, 2020, based on a determination that she was not eligible for benefits between academic years or terms. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephonic hearing was held at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, January 27, 2022. Appeal numbers 22A-UI-00544-LJ-T, 22A-UI-00546-LJ-T, 22A-UI-00548-LJ-T, and 22A-UI-00549-LJ-T were heard together and created one record. The claimant, Marie L. Parrow, participated. The employer, Davenport Community School District, participated through Julie Ditch, HR Generalist. Department's Exhibits D-1 and D-2 were admitted for assisting with determination of the timeliness issue. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

#### ISSUE:

Did the claimant file a timely appeal?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The decision finding claimant ineligible for benefits between academic years or terms was mailed to her last known address of record on February 16, 2021. She believes she received it and then misplaced it. The first sentence of the decision states, "If this decision denies benefits and is not reversed on appeal, it may result in an overpayment which you will be required to repay." The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by February 26, 2021. The appeal was not filed until November 19, 2021, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision.

Claimant could not recall receiving the decision, but she has not experienced any difficulty getting any mail. She was working in February 2021, when the decision would have arrived. Later, when the decisions informing her that she was overpaid benefits arrived, claimant promptly appealed.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant failed to file a timely appeal.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The Iowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982).

Here, the claimant testified that she received the decision in the mail and, therefore, had an opportunity to file an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. Claimant's delay was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States

Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay. Claimant's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issue in this matter.

## **DECISION:**

The February 16, 2021, (reference 03) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

Elizabeth A. Johnson

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

February 17, 2022

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

lj/lj