IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

MATTHEW J WRIGHT

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-01097-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DATA DIMENSIONS CORPORATION

Employer

OC: 10/01/06 R: 03 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Data Dimensions (employer) appealed a representative's January 22, 2007 decision (reference 04) that concluded Matthew Wright (claimant) was discharged and there was no evidence of willful or deliberate misconduct. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on February 14, 2007. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Karin Hallett, Benefits Administrator. The employer offered one exhibit which was marked for identification as Exhibit One. Exhibit One was received into evidence

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on July 22, 2002, as a full-time data capture operator. The claimant signed for receipt of the company handbook on or about July 22, 2002. The handbook contained the employer's attendance policy. Each employee is allowed to be absent from work approximately six days in a six month period. The employer warned the claimant on December 28, 2006, that he had only eight hours of absence remaining. The claimant was absent four days due to an illness that both parties believe may have been properly reported. The claimant was absent one day due to car problems.

On January 2, 2006, the claimant reported to work 14 minutes late. The employer terminated the claimant for excessive absenteeism.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was not discharged for misconduct

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. <u>Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. Higgins v. lowa Department of Job Service, 350 N.W.2d 187 (lowa 1984). The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. Excessive absences are not misconduct unless unexcused. Absences due to properly reported illness can never constitute job misconduct since they are not volitional. Cosper v. lowa Department of Job Service, 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified when and why the employee is unable to report to work. In this case the employer does not differentiate between absences due to properly reported illness and other absences. The claimant was absent four times in six months due to illness that appears to be properly reported. The claimant was absent due to lack of transportation once and then he was tardy once. The testimony provided at the hearing did not establish any other non-illness absenteeism. The employer discharged the claimant and has the burden of proof to show misconduct. The employer did not provide sufficient evidence of misconduct at the hearing. Two absences during the claimant's last six months of employment is not excessive. Consequently, the employer did not meet its burden of proof to show misconduct. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The representative's January 22, 2007 decision (reference 04) is affirmed. The claimant was discharged. Misconduct has not been established. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/css