IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

SINA DAVIS

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-13773-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PRINCIPAL LIFE INSURANCE CO

Employer

OC: 08/02/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from the October 21, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on December 31, 2020, at 9:00 a.m. Claimant participated. Employer did not participate. No exhibits were admitted.

ISSUES:

Whether claimant's separation was a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to employer. Whether claimant is able to and available for work.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

As claimant was the only witness, the administrative law judge makes the following findings of fact based solely upon claimant's testimony: Claimant was employed as a full-time Implementation Analyst from November 22, 2010 until her employment with Principal Life Insurance ended on June 6, 2020. Claimant was required to work mandatory overtime for three to four years until employer disallowed overtime in March 2020. Claimant was unable to complete her assigned work within a 40 hour work week. In April and May 2020, claimant received three verbal warnings regarding her work performance. These warnings were issued during one-on-one meetings between claimant and her supervisor. Claimant found the warnings threatening because employer told claimant that if her performance did not improve written warnings would be issued and claimant would be terminated. Claimant received no written warnings regarding her work performance. On or about May 25, 2020, claimant resigned from her employment effective June 6, 2020. Claimant resigned because she believed she could not adequately perform her job duties without overtime and that her job was in jeopardy. There was continuing work available for claimant.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily quit her employment without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

lowa Code § 96.5(1) provides: An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, if the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary quitting means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer and requires an intention to terminate the employment. *Wills v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 447 N.W. 2d 137, 138 (lowa 1989). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980); *Peck v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 492 N.W.2d 438 (lowa Ct. App. 1992).

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). The standard of what a reasonable person would have believed under the circumstances is applied in determining whether a claimant left work voluntarily with good cause attributable to the employer. *O'Brien v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 494 N.W.2d 660 (Iowa 1993).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(33) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(33) The claimant left because such claimant felt that the job performance was not to the satisfaction of the employer; provided, the employer had not requested the claimant to leave and continued work was available.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(21) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(21) The claimant was compelled to resign when given the choice of resigning or being discharged. This shall not be considered a voluntary leaving.

Claimant's written resignation is both evidence of her intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act of carrying out her intention. Claimant voluntarily quit her employment. Claimant quit because she did not believe she could improve her work performance without working overtime and, therefore, her job was in jeopardy. However, employer did not request claimant to leave and had continuing work available for claimant. Employer issued verbal warnings and informed claimant of the consequences if her performance did not improve but did not force claimant to resign in lieu of termination. Claimant

has not met her burden of proving she voluntarily quit her employment for good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied.

Because claimant's separation is disqualifying, the issue of whether claimant is able to and available for work is moot.

DECISION:

The October 21, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to employer. Benefits are denied until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. The issue of whether claimant is able to and available for work is moot.

Adrienne C. Williamson

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

Iowa Workforce Development

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515)478-3528

__January 19, 2021_

Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/mh