### IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - El
BENJAMIN CISNEROS Claimant	APPEAL NO: 11A-UI-14780-ET
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
AVENTURE STAFFING & PROFESSIONAL Employer	
	OC: 06-26-11 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving 871 IAC 24.26(19 & 22) – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.5-1-j – Reassignment from Employer

# STATEMENT OF CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the November 9, 2011, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on December 8, 2011. The claimant did not respond to the hearing notice and did not participate in the hearing or request a postponement of the hearing as required by the hearing notice. Cyd Hall, office manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer. Employer's Exhibit One was admitted into evidence.

### **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily left his employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant was employed as a full-time laborer for Aventure Staffing & Professional last assigned at Monsato Seed Production from August 31, 2011 to October 4, 2011. It was a seasonal job and the claimant completed his assignment. The client notified the claimant there was no more work to be done and the claimant did not call the employer for further work. The employer's policy requires employees to notify it within three business days of the completion of an assignment so they may be assigned another assignment (Employer's Exhibit One). The claimant signed the policy August 16, 2011 (Employer's Exhibit One).

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's separation was not disqualifying.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

871 IAC 24.26(22) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(22) The claimant was hired for a specific period of time and completed the contract of hire by working until this specific period of time had lapsed. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of Iowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employees shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The claimant completed the contract of hire with the employer doing seasonal general laborer work for Monsanto and chose not to report for a new assignment. The issue of seeking reassignment from the employer does not apply in this instance, as this was casual labor work and the claimant completed the contract of hire by working until the end of the assignment. If the employer makes an offer of work to the claimant in the future and the claimant refuses said offer, the issue of work refusal will be adjudicated at that time. Therefore, benefits are allowed.

# **DECISION:**

The November 9, 2011, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The claimant's separation from employment was for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/kjw