IOA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

DALTON J DOWNING Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-04580-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CASEY'S MARKETING CO Employer

> OC: 03/17/13 Claimant: Appellant (1)

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the April 10, 2013 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on June 18, 2013. Claimant participated. Employer participated through store manager, Julie Sheeder. Employer's Exhibit 1 was received.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed part time as a kitchen worker and was separated from employment on March 26, 2013. He left a note the week before indicating he was thinking about quitting because of a reprimand about staying busy after a video review. His last day of work was March 16, 2013. He was tardy on March 16. Sheeder told him she would talk to him the next day, Sunday, March 17 when he was scheduled at 3:00 p.m. She waited until after 3:30 p.m. and left when he did not report. The claimant was a no-call/no-show on March 17, 18 and 22, 2013.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(4) and (28) provide:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving Iowa Code § 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

(28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified when and why the employee is unable to report to work. Generally, when an individual mistakenly believes they are discharged from employment, but was not told so by the employer, and they discontinue reporting for work, the separation is considered a quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Inasmuch as the claimant failed to report for work or notify the employer for three consecutive workdays in violation of the employer policy, the claimant is considered to have voluntarily left employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Furthermore, since claimant did not follow up with management personnel, and his assumption of having been fired was erroneous, his failure to continue reporting to work was an abandonment of the job. Claimant's leaving the employment without notice or reason after a reprimand renders the separation without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The April 10, 2013 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. Claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/css