# BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

## Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

\_\_\_\_\_\_

HERBERT A LANGLEY

: **HEARING NUMBER:** 19BUI-07821

Claimant :

and : **EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD** : **DECISION** 

**WELLS ENTERPRISES INC** 

Employer :

#### NOTICE

**THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL** unless (1) a **request for a REHEARING** is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within **20 days** of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a **PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT** IS FILED WITHIN **30 days** of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

**SECTION:** 96.5-2-A, 96.3-7

#### DECISION

#### **UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED**

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board, one member dissenting, finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kim D. Schmett		

### **DISSENTING OPINION OF ASHLEY R. KOOPMANS:**

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the administrative law judge's decision. While I believe housekeeping should not have been in the restroom when the other man was in the stall, I would find the Claimant simply exercised poor judgement that didn't rise to the level of misconduct as defined in the statute. I would allow benefits provided the Claimant is otherwise eligible.

Ashley R. Koopmans

AMG/fnv