

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JENNY L REITER
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 14A-UI-00626-VST

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

KASTIM CORPORATION
Employer

OC: 12/15/13
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.6-3 – Prior Adjudication

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated January 10, 2014, reference 02, which held that the claimant was ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was held on February 10, 2014. This case was heard in conjunction with appeal number 14A-UI-00625-VST.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant's separation from employment has been previously adjudicated.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having considered all of the evidence in the record, makes the following findings of fact:

The claimant filed an original claim for benefits with an original claim date of December 9, 2012. A representative's decision was issued on November 7, 2013, which held that the claimant was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. That decision was affirmed by the administrative law judge in appeal number 14A-UI-00625-VST.

The claimant filed a new claim for benefits with an original claim date of December 15, 2013. On January 10, 2014, the representative issued a decision that denied the claimant's claim since there had been a prior adjudication of the separation issue.

This case concerns the same claim as in appeal number 14A-UI-00625-VST.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Unless appealed in a timely manner and reversed on appeal, a finding of fact or law, judgment, conclusion or final order made pursuant to this section by an employee or representative of Iowa Workforce Development, administrative law judge, or the employment appeal board, is binding upon the parties in proceedings brought under this chapter. See Iowa Code section 96.6(3) and (4).

The decision in appeal number 14A-UI-00625-VST is, therefore, binding on the claimant and she is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated January 10, 2014, reference 03, is affirmed. Unemployment insurance benefits are not allowed.

Vicki L. Seeck
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

vls/css