**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section** 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 **DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE** 

68-0157 (7-97) - 3091078 - EI

**LONNIE A GOODALL** 6624 TERRAZZO DR NW **CEDAR RAPIDS IA 52405** 

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**  **Appeal Number:** 05A-UI-05245-AT

OC: 05/01/05 R: 03 Claimant: Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor-Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Detect & Mailed)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.4-3 – Active Work Search

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated May 12, 2005, reference 01, which issued a warning to the claimant based upon a finding that the claimant had not performed an active work search for the week ending May 7, 2005. After reviewing the claimant's appeal letter and Agency benefit payment records, the administrative law judge concludes that no additional testimony is necessary.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: On April 27, 2005, Lonnie A. Goodall accepted a position with General Electric to begin on May 16, 2005. He did not conduct a work search during the week ending May 7, 2005 because he had already accepted the other position.

# REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether the warning should be removed from the claimant's record. It should.

Although Iowa Code section 96.4-3 requires an active and earnest work search each week that an individual requests unemployment insurance benefits, the administrative law judge concludes from the evidence in this record that a work search during the week in question should be waived because the claimant had accepted a position to begin on May 16, 2005. In essence, Mr. Goodall was temporarily unemployed during the week in question.

#### **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated May 12, 2005, reference 01, is reversed. The warning is removed from the claimant's record.

kjw/pjs