IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ARAM LOMELI Claimant

APPEAL NO: 08A-UI-11195-ET

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CRST VAN EXPEDITED INC

Employer

OC: 10-05-08 R: 12 Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Leaving Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the November 19, 2008, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a telephone hearing was held before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on December 15, 2008. The claimant participated in the hearing. Sandy Matt, Human Resources Specialist and Marcus Schneider, Fleet Manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant voluntarily left his employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time over-the-road truck driver for CRST Van Expedited from November 23, 2006 to October 10, 2008. The last day he drove for the employer was September 24, 2008. Fleet Manager Marcus Schneider called the claimant approximately 12 times between September 24 and October 10, 2008, asking him to come back for loads but while the claimant usually initially said he would accept the load he would call at the last minute and state he was unable to take the load for various reasons. On October 10, 2008, Mr. Schneider offered him a load and the claimant asked for a guarantee of miles and when the employer explained it did not guarantee miles the claimant stated he would not be coming back.

The claimant has claimed and received unemployment insurance benefits since his separation from this employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

In general, a voluntary guit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the emplovee has separated. 871 IAC 24.25. Leaving because of unlawful, intolerable, or detrimental working conditions would be good cause. 871 IAC 24.26(3),(4). Leaving because of dissatisfaction with the work environment is not good cause. 871 IAC 24.25(1). The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code section 96.6-2. The employer offered the claimant several opportunities to return to work but the claimant continually came up with reasons, many of them last minute, of why he could not take the loads offered by the employer. Additionally, he wanted the employer to guarantee him a certain number of miles, which the employer does not do for any driver unless it is a dedicated route, and the employer had not done for the claimant during the nearly two years he was employed there. When the employer told the claimant it would not guarantee him a minimum number of miles the claimant said he was not returning to the job. Under these circumstances the administrative law judge cannot conclude that the claimant has demonstrated that his leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer as defined by lowa law. Therefore, benefits are denied.

The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code section 96.3-7. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. The matter of determining the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under Iowa Code section 96.3-7-b is remanded to the Agency.

DECISION:

The November 19, 2008, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly

benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The matter of determining the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under Iowa Code section 96.3-7-b is remanded to the Agency.

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

je/pjs