## IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

AYABA KPINSO Claimant

# APPEAL 15A-UI-12866-DL-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

LA LEASING INC SEDONA GROUP Employer

> OC: 10/25/15 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Quitting – Temporary Employment

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the November 13, 2015, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based upon voluntarily quitting the employment. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on December 8, 2015. Claimant participated with friend Charles Hkapo through CTS Lanuage Link French interpreter Jean-Bosco. Employer participated through account manager Tanner McCutcheon and workers' compensation administrator Chad Baker.

#### **ISSUE:**

Did claimant quit by not reporting for additional work assignments within three business days of the end of the last assignment?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed part-time as a temporary general laborer assigned at West Rock on October 1, 2015, and was separated from the assignment on Wednesday, October 21, 2015. A Sedona Staffing on-site representative notified the claimant of the end of the assignment. After the assignment ended, the claimant reported to the employer on Monday, October 26, 2015, spoke with a woman, indicated availability for work, and requested further assignment as required by written policy. The office is not open on Saturdays. The claimant did receive a copy of the reassignment reporting policy in English, but not French, on February 21, 2013.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5(1)j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

(1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or

refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

Each assignment with a temporary agency is considered a separate period of employment. The purpose of the statute is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so they may be reassigned and continue working.

The employer hired claimant for mutual benefit to fill a temporary work order from West Rock with the knowledge that she does not speak or read much English but expected her to understand the reporting policy written in English without providing translation or interpretation assistance. Without knowledge or understanding of the reporting policy, claimant was reasonable to opt to look for work elsewhere or to report for additional work when she did. In spite of the language barrier, since claimant contacted the employer within three working days of the notification of the end of the assignment, requested reassignment, and there was no work available, benefits are allowed, provided she is otherwise eligible.

## DECISION:

The November 13, 2015, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant's separation was attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/pjs