IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CHRISTINE A FAY

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-13748-VST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

LA LEASING INC

Employer

OC: 11/03/13

Claimant: Respondent (4R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated December 5, 2013, reference 03, which held that the claimant was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was held on January 8, 2013. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Maria Mays, Risk Administrative Assistant, and Kelly Weaver, Account Manager Clinton office.

In reviewing the file and discussing the issues with the employer, it became apparent that the correct issue in this case was whether the claimant voluntarily left for good cause attributable to the employer. The administrative law judge explained to the parties that either a new notice could be sent out and a new hearing set on this issue, or the parties could waive notice of the issue so that it could be considered in this hearing. Both parties waived notice on the separation issues.

The record consists of the testimony of Christine Fay; the testimony of Maria Mays; the testimony of Kelly Weaver; and Employer's Exhibit 1.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant voluntarily left for good cause attributable to the employer.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having considered all of the evidence in the record, makes the following findings of fact:

The employer is a temporary staffing agency. The claimant had two assignments with the employer. The first assignment was from May 16, 2013, through July 3, 2013. That assignment ended due to claimant's attendance. The second assignment was from July 17, 2013, through August 28, 2013. The claimant left a voice mail for the employer on August 29, 2013, saying that she was quitting the assignment due to issues with co-workers. The claimant had not voiced these concerns prior to quitting the assignment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(6) and (21) provide:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (6) The claimant left as a result of an inability to work with other employees.
- (21) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the work environment.

A quit is a separation initiated by the employee. 871 IAC 24.1(113)(b). In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. See <u>Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer</u>, 289 N.W.2d 698, 612 (Iowa 1980) and <u>Peck v. EAB</u>, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa App. 1992). In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer. See 871 IAC 24.25.

The claimant is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. The evidence is uncontroverted that the claimant severed the employment relationship by quitting the assignment that she had been given by the employer. The claimant cited "issues" with coworkers on why she was quitting. The inability to get along with co-workers and a dissatisfaction with the work environment are insufficient reasons to constitute good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit her job and is therefore ineligible for benefits.

The next issue is overpayment of benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from

any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The overpayment issue is remanded to the Claims Section for determination.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated December 5, 2013, reference 03, is modified in favor of the appellant. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten ties claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. The overpayment issue is remanded to the Claims Section for determination.

Vicki L. Seeck Administrative Law Judge	
Administrative Law eauge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	

vls/css