# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**RIEK DIEW** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-14779-SWT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**EMCO ENTERPRISES INC** 

Employer

OC: 07/12/09

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit Section 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Appeal

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated September 8, 2009, reference 01, that concluded he voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer. A telephone hearing was held on October 30, 2009. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. The claimant participated in the hearing. Tom Kuiper participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer with a witness, Mary Halverson. Exhibit A-1 and One through Three were admitted into evidence at the hearing.

#### ISSUE:

Was the appeal in this case filed timely?

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

An unemployment insurance decision was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record on September 8, 2009. The decision concluded he voluntarily quit employment without good cause attributable to the employer and stated the decision was final unless a written appeal was postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by September 18, 2009.

The decision reached his home within the ten-day period for appealing the decision, but he did not see it right away because he shares the apartment with another person and it might have been mislaid before the claimant found it. He filed a written appeal on September 29, 2009, soon after he found the decision, which is after the time period for appealing had expired.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The issue in this case is whether the claimant filed a timely appeal.

lowa Code section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found

by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The Iowa Supreme Court has ruled that appeals from unemployment insurance decisions must be filed within the time limit set by statute and the administrative law judge has no authority to review a decision if a timely appeal is not filed. <u>Franklin v. IDJS</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979); <u>Beardslee v. IDJS</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979). In this case, the claimant's appeal was filed after the deadline for appealing expired.

The next question is whether the claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. IESC, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); Smith v. IESC, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973). The claimant filed his appeal late because the decision was mislaid by the claimant or his roommate. The claimant had a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The failure to file a timely appeal was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service, which under 871 IAC 24.35(2) would excuse the delay in filing an appeal. Since the appeal was not filed timely, there is no jurisdiction to make a decision on the merits of the appeal.

## **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated September 8, 2009, reference 01, is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the unemployment insurance decision disqualifying the claimant from receiving benefits remains in effect.

Steven A. Wise Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	

saw/css