

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**WALTREGISE D GILBERT**  
Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-04556-VST**

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE  
DECISION**

**SPHERION ATLANTIC ENTERPRISES LLC**  
Employer

**OC: 01/24/10  
Claimant: Appellant (1)**

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit  
Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE:**

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated March 3, 2010, reference 03, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled on May 6, 2010. Employer participated by Misty Evans. Although the claimant responded to the hearing notice and provided a telephone number at which he could be reached, when that number was dialed, a recorded message said that no calls were being taken at that time. The administrative law judge called a second time to be certain. Since this matter involves the preliminary issue of timeliness of appeal, the decision is made based on agency records. Official notice is taken of those records.

**ISSUE:**

Whether the claimant filed a timely appeal.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having considered all of the evidence in the record, makes the following findings of fact:

A representative's decision was issued on March 3, 2010, which held that the claimant was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. The decision also stated that it would be final unless an appeal was postmarked by March 13, 2010, or received by Iowa Workforce Development Appeal Section by that date. Since March 13, 2010, fell on a Saturday, the deadline was extended to March 15, 2010. The claimant's appeal was not received by the Appeals Section until March 24, 2010.

**REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The preliminary issue in this case is whether the claimant timely appealed the representative's decision. Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides that unless the affected party (here, the claimant) files an appeal from the decision within ten calendar days, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied as set out by the decision.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev., 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); Johnson v. Board of Adjustment, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Pursuant to rules 871 IAC 26.2(96)(1) and 871 IAC 24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. Messina v. IDJS, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. IDJS, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. IESC, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); Smith v. IESC, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The claimant did not participate in the hearing and his reasons for filing his appeal late are unknown.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to have the appeal timely postmarked within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was not due to error, misinformation, delay, or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 24.35(2). There is also no evidence of agency error. Since the claimant's appeal is not timely, the administrative law judge has no jurisdiction to rule on the merits of the claimant's claim for unemployment insurance benefits.

**DECISION:**

The decision of the representative dated March 3, 2010, reference 03, is affirmed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

---

Vicki L. Seeck  
Administrative Law Judge

---

Decision Dated and Mailed

vls/css