# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DAN NGUYEN TRLR 33 306 E A ST WEST LIBERTY IA 52776 9337

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

MINH NGUYEN NON-ATTORNEY REPRESENTATIVE 527 TREASURE DR OSWEGO IL 60543

### APPEAL 21A-UI-21235-AD-T

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

### APPEAL RIGHTS:

**This Decision Shall Become Final**, unless within fifteen (15) days from the mailing date below the administrative law judge's signature on the last page of the decision, you or any interested party:

Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to:

Employment Appeal Board 4<sup>th</sup> Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 or Fax (515)281-7191

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

The name, address and social security number of the claimant.

A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a law yer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a law yer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

#### SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

#### **ONLINE RESOURCES:**

UI law and administrative rules:

https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-law-and-administrative-rules UI Benefits Handbook:

https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-claimant-handbook

Employer UI Handbook: <a href="https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/employer-handbook">https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/employer-handbook</a> Report UI fraud: <a href="https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/report-fraud">https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/report-fraud</a>

Employer account access and information: <a href="https://www.myiowaui.org/UITIPTaxWeb/">https://www.myiowaui.org/UITIPTaxWeb/</a>
National Career Readiness Certificate and Skilled lowa Initiative: <a href="https://skillediowa.org/">https://skillediowa.org/</a>

# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

DAN NGUYEN

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-21235-AD-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 04/05/20

Claimant: Appellant (2)

lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Filing – Timely Appeal lowa Code § 96.3(7) – Overpayment of Benefits

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On September 23, 2021, Dan Nguyen (claimant/appellant) filed an appeal from the decision dated July 7, 2021 (reference 03) that determined claimant was overpaid benefits in the amount of \$875.00 for the five weeks between April 5 and May 9, 2020, based on a prior decision denying benefits.

A telephone hearing was held on November 19, 2021. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. Claimant participated personally and with the assistance of an interpreter. He was represented by non-attorney representative Minh Nguyen, his son.

Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

### ISSUE(S):

- I. Is the appeal timely?
- II. Was the claimant overpaid benefits?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds:

The decision which led to the overpayment finding has now been reversed. See 21A-UI-21234-AD-T.

The delay in appealing was due to a language barrier. Claimant was prompted to appeal when he received subsequent decisions finding he was overpaid benefits and asked his son for assistance. His son then appealed on his father's behalf.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was timely. The decision dated July 7, 2021 (reference 03) that determined claimant was overpaid benefits in the amount of \$875.00 for the five weeks between April 5 and May 9, 2020, based on a prior decision denying benefits is REVERSED.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1)(a) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark on the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.

(b)

(c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

There is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and the Administrative Law Judge has no authority to change the decision of representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. Iowa Dept. Job Service, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). The ten-day period for appealing an initial determination concerning a claim for benefits has been described as jurisdictional. Messina v. Iowa Dept. of Job Service, 341 N.W.2d 52, 55 (lowa 1983); Beardslee v. lowa Dept. Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979). The only basis for changing the ten-day period would be where notice to the appealing party was constitutionally invalid. E.g. Beardslee v. Iowa Dept. Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979). The question in such cases becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); Smith v. Iowa Employment Sec. Commission, 212 N.W.2d 471 (lowa 1973). The question of whether the Claimant has been denied a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal is also informed by rule 871-24.35(2) which states that "the submission of any ...appeal...not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service."

The administrative law judge finds claimant's language barrier constitutes a good cause reason for delay and the administrative law judge therefore concludes the appeal is timely. Because the appeal is timely, the administrative law judge has jurisdiction to address the underlying issues.

The decision which led to the overpayment finding has now been reversed. See 21A-UI-21234-AD-T. Because the decision which led to the overpayment finding has been reversed, claimant was not overpaid.

### **DECISION:**

The administrative law judge concludes the claimant's appeal was timely. The decision dated July 7, 2021 (reference 03) that determined claimant was overpaid benefits in the amount of \$875.00 for the five weeks between April 5 and May 9, 2020, based on a prior decision denying benefits is REVERSED. Claimant was not overpaid.

Andrew B. Duffelmeyer

Administrative Law Judge

Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

and Mylmeyer

1000 East Grand Avenue

Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515) 478-3528

December 1, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

abd/abd